

NORTH CAROLINA
BAR ASSOCIATION
SEEKING LIBERTY & JUSTICE

JUDICIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION SURVEY – PHASE I

SURVEY RESULTS

NORTH CAROLINA BAR ASSOCIATION
JANUARY 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

3 | **PREFACE**

5 | **SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES**

6 | **DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES**

INDIVIDUAL SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE REPORTS

10 | MARVIN K. BLOUNT III (3A)

10 | CHARLES H. HENRY (4B)

10 | PAUL C. RIDGEWAY (10A)

11 | PAUL G. GESSNER (10C)

11 | THOMAS H. LOCK (11B)

11 | CARL R. FOX (15B)

12 | ALLEN BADDOUR (15B)

12 | ED WILSON (17A)

12 | STUART ALBRIGHT (18C)

13 | W. ERWIN SPAINHOUR (19A)

13 | V. BRADFORD LONG (19B)

13 | MARK E. KLASS (22B)

14 | C. THOMAS EDWARDS (25A)

14 | RICHARD BONER (26B)

14 | BOB BELL (26C)

15 | JESSE B. CALDWELL III (27A)

15 | MARK E. POWELL (29B)

INDIVIDUAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE REPORTS

DISTRICT 1

16 | EULA E. REID

16 | ROBERT P. TRIVETTE

DISTRICT 2

17 | DARRELL B. CAYTON JR.

17 | REGINA R. PARKER

DISTRICT 3A

18 | GWYN HILBURN

DISTRICT 4

19 | PAUL A. HARDISON

19 | SARAH C. SEATON

DISTRICT 5

20 | JAMES HENRY FAISON III

20 | MELINDA H. CROUCH

20 | CHAD HOGSTON

DISTRICT 6B

21 | THOMAS L. JONES JR.

DISTRICT 7

22 | PELL COOPER

DISTRICT 8

23 | BETH HEATH

DISTRICT 9A

24 | MARK GALLOWAY

DISTRICT 9B

25 | J. HENRY BANKS

DISTRICT 10

26 | KRIS D. BAILEY

26 | MICHAEL J. DENNING

26 | MARGARET EAGLES

27 | KEITH O'BRIEN GREGORY

27 | NED W. MANGUM

27 | LOUIS MEYER

28 | VINCE ROZIER JR.

DISTRICT 11

29 | CARON H. STEWART

29 | ADDIE RAWLS

DISTRICT 12

30 | TALMAGE (TAL) BAGGETT

30 | GEORGE J. FRANKS

30 | DAVID H. HASTY

31 | TONI S. KING

31 | ROBERT STIEHL

DISTRICT 13

32 | WILLIAM F. FAIRLEY

32 | SHERRY DEW PRINCE

DISTRICT 14

33 | PAT EVANS

33 | NANCY E. GORDON

33 | JAMES T. (JIM) HILL

34 | MARCIA H. MOREY

34 | DORETTA WALKER

34 | BRIAN C. WILKS

DISTRICT 15A

35 | BRAD ALLEN

DISTRICT 15B

36 | JOSEPH (JOE) MOODY BUCKNER

DISTRICT 16A

37 | REGINA M. JOE

TABLE OF CONTENTS, CONTINUED

DISTRICT 16B

- 38 | J. STANLEY CARMICAL
- 38 | JOHN B. CARTER JR.
- 38 | HERBERT L. RICHARDSON

DISTRICT 17A

- 39 | STANLEY L. (STAN) ALLEN
- 39 | FRED WILKINS

DISTRICT 17B

- 40 | SPENCER G. KEY JR.
- 40 | CHARLES M. (CHUCK) NEAVES JR.
- 40 | ANGELA B. PUCKETT

DISTRICT 18

- 41 | WENDY M. ENOCHS
- 41 | H. THOMAS (TOM) JARRELL JR.

DISTRICT 19A

- 42 | BRENT CLONINGER
- 42 | WILLIAM G. HAMBY JR.
- 42 | DONNA H. JOHNSON
- 43 | MARTIN B. (MARTY) MCGEE

DISTRICT 19B

- 44 | SCOTT ETHERIDGE
- 44 | LEE W. GAVIN
- 44 | JAYRENE RUSSELL MANESS

DISTRICT 19C

- 45 | CHARLIE BROWN
- 45 | BETH S. DIXON
- 45 | KEVIN EDDINGER

DISTRICT 20A

- 46 | SCOTT T. BREWER
- 46 | WILLIAM C. (BILL) TUCKER

DISTRICT 21

- 47 | GEORGE A. BEDSWORTH
- 47 | DENISE S. HARTSFIELD
- 47 | LISA V. MENELEE

DISTRICT 22A

- 48 | H. THOMAS CHURCH

DISTRICT 22B

- 49 | MARY FOWLER COVINGTON
- 49 | WAYNE L. MICHAEL
- 49 | JIMMY LAIRD MYERS
- 50 | ROD PENRY
- 50 | CARLTON TERRY
- 50 | APRIL C. WOOD

DISTRICT 23

- 51 | DAVID V. BYRD
- 51 | MICHAEL D. DUNCAN

DISTRICT 24

- 52 | WARREN HUGHES

DISTRICT 25

- 53 | BOB BRADY
- 53 | SHERRI WILSON ELLIOTT
- 53 | GREGORY R. (GREG) HAYES
- 54 | MARK KILLIAN
- 54 | ROBERT A. MULLINAX JR.
- 54 | AMY SIGMON WALKER

DISTRICT 26

- 55 | DONALD CURETON JR.
- 55 | RICKYE MCKOY-MITCHELL
- 55 | PAIGE B. MCTHENIA
- 56 | REGAN A. MILLER
- 56 | THEO NIXON
- 56 | MATT OSMAN
- 57 | SEAN SMITH
- 57 | BECKY THORNE TIN

DISTRICT 27A

- 58 | RALPH C. GINGLES JR.
- 58 | MICHAEL K. LANDS

DISTRICT 27B

- 59 | ALI PAKSOY JR.

DISTRICT 28

- 60 | EDWIN D. CLONTZ
- 60 | SUSAN M. DOTSON-SMITH
- 60 | JULIE M. KEPPLER
- 61 | WARD D. SCOTT
- 61 | PATRICIA KAUFMANN YOUNG

DISTRICT 29A

- 62 | ROBERT K. MARTELLE
- 62 | C. RANDY POOL
- 62 | LAURA ANNE POWELL

DISTRICT 30

- 63 | KRISTINA L. EARWOOD
- 63 | DONNA FORGA
- 63 | ROY WIJEWICKRAMA

PREFACE

INTRODUCTION | The Judicial Performance Evaluation (JPE) Committee of the North Carolina Bar Association is pleased to provide this report summarizing the results of Phase I of its survey of North Carolina Superior and District Court judges elected or appointed to the bench before March 31, 2013, whose terms expire in 2014, as well as Special Superior Court judges. A second survey (Phase II) will be conducted in March 2014 in which attorneys will evaluate Superior and District Court judges appointed after March 31, 2013, whose terms expire in 2014 and lawyers who file for election to the Superior or District Court in 2014.

BACKGROUND | In 2006, the NCBA's Administration of Justice Committee produced a report noting the lack of information available to members of the public to enable them to cast an informed vote based on a judge's performance. The report recommended the creation of the JPE Committee. The NCBA's Board of Governors followed that recommendation, and the JPE Committee was formed in 2008. The committee was charged with creating and administering a survey by which lawyers could evaluate a judge's performance. Results of the survey could be utilized to provide information for the voting public. The JPE Committee is currently led by former District Court Judge Nancy Black Norelli and it is comprised of seven retired judges, seven retired attorneys and seven laypersons.

The NCBA contracted with BDO USA, LLP, a national accounting firm with local offices in Raleigh, to conduct the survey. BDO USA served as gate-keeper throughout the survey process, ensuring that only persons meeting the survey requirements were allowed to participate and that those who did participate remained anonymous.

The NCBA also employed statistician Larry Nelson, a well-respected retired member of the faculty at North Carolina State University, to evaluate the methodology to ensure that the survey would encourage the most participation possible and generate statistically valid results.

SURVEY DETAILS | The survey asked attorneys with an active North Carolina law license to rate each included judge with whom the attorney had sufficient professional contact to be able to evaluate the judge's performance in the six categories below, using a scale of 5 (excellent), 4 (good), 3 (average), 2 (below average) and 1 (poor):

1. **Integrity and Impartiality** | Judge is fair to all persons, bases decisions on facts and law and refrains from inappropriate *ex parte* communications;
2. **Legal Ability** | Judge demonstrates knowledge of law and rules of procedure and evidence;
3. **Professionalism** | Judge exercises patience, is courteous to all and attentive to proceedings at hand, fulfills out-of-court duties and responsibilities, and upholds the dignity of the office;
4. **Communication** | Judge speaks clearly and understandably, prepares coherent decisions and carefully reviews orders before entry;
5. **Administrative Skills** | Judge is punctual and prepared, controls the courtroom appropriately, uses courtroom time efficiently, enforces deadlines appropriately, makes timely decisions and enters orders promptly; and
6. **Overall Performance** | Rate the judge's overall performance.

If the rating attorney felt that his or her knowledge of a particular judge was sufficient to rate some but not all of the qualities, the lawyer indicated "do not know" for the quality or qualities that he or she felt unqualified to rate. As a result, the number of responses for a single judge may vary somewhat among the six categories.

To identify the members of the bench who would be eligible to seek election in 2014, the JPE Committee collected information from the North Carolina State Board of Elections and the Administrative Office of the Courts. A total of 134 judges, 21 in Superior Court and 113 in District Court, were included in the survey.

A list was compiled of the more than 20,000 lawyers having an active North Carolina law license, and email addresses for approximately 95% of those attorneys were obtained. Attorneys with email addresses were able to participate in the survey online via an electronic invitation from BDO USA. Attorneys without email addresses; attorneys who notified the NCBA that they did not wish to participate online; attorneys working for the government, including judges, clerks of court, district attorneys and public defenders; and members of the NCBA's Senior Lawyers Division were provided a paper version of the survey.

On October 15, 2013, BDO USA distributed the survey electronically to each attorney with a known email address. Paper surveys were mailed with an enclosed business reply envelope addressed to and returned directly to BDO USA, who had exclusive access to manage the survey. All survey responses were directed to BDO USA, who protected confidentiality, ensured that each respondent was an eligible attorney and safeguarded against the possibility of multiple responses from one individual.

The survey was open for participation from October 15 to November 15, 2013. BDO USA compiled the survey results for Professor Nelson, who conducted a statistical analysis. Professor Nelson received anonymous raw data from the survey, which did not identify the judges. Upon review of the data, Professor Nelson reported that the results were statistically valid for each judge included in the survey.

SUMMARY OF RESULTS | The total number of responses per judge varied, reflecting variation in the length of past service of the judge as well as, especially for District Court judges, the total number of attorneys who practice in the particular judicial district in which the judge presides. For example, a resident judge in a judicial district with a low number of attorneys may have received what seems to be a low overall number of responses, but the total number of responses constitutes a significant percentage of the total number of attorneys practicing in that judicial district.

PREFACE, CONTINUED

JUDGES NOT INCLUDED IN REPORT | The number of judges included in this report is lower than the number of judges in the survey. Results for a judge are not included if the judge is deceased or made a public announcement by December 28, 2013, that he or she will not seek election to any judicial office in 2014. If an omitted judge later decides to file for election, the JPE Committee will include the survey results for the judge on the NCBA web page and in any subsequent publication reporting the survey results and accessible to the public. A total of 120 judges – 17 in Superior Court and 103 in District Court – are listed in this report.

The reasons for decisions by those judges to retire from the bench vary and do not reflect the rating any judge may have received in the survey.

NAVIGATING THIS REPORT | The information in this report is set out in two distinct sections.

The first section sets forth the summary results for each judge included in the report. The information in this first section reports the average numerical rating given by attorneys for each of the six categories for which the judge was evaluated.

The second section provides detailed results for each judge included in the report. This section reports the total number of attorneys who responded for each judge and a breakdown of the number of responses in each rating (5 to 1) for each category for each judge.

In both sections, the Superior Court judges are listed first and then the District Court judges, in order by the number of his or her judicial district and in alphabetical order within the district for those districts with two or more judges.

PHASE II SURVEY | A separate survey evaluating the qualifications of each (i) non-incumbent candidate who files for election in 2014 and (ii) Superior and District Court judges appointed after March 31, 2013, whose terms expire in 2014 will be conducted in March 2014 and released in April 2014. Those results, combined with the results in this report, will be compiled in an online voter's guide that will be posted at electncjudges.org.

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES							
DISTRICT	JUDGE NAME	INTEGRITY & IMPARTIALITY	LEGAL ABILITY	PROFESSIONALISM	COMMUNICATION	ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS	OVERALL PERFORMANCE
3A	MARVIN K. BLOUNT III	4.17	4.06	4.25	4.15	4.19	4.10
4B	CHARLES H. HENRY	4.65	4.54	4.72	4.56	4.44	4.57
10A	PAUL C. RIDGEWAY	4.72	4.74	4.84	4.76	4.75	4.75
10C	PAUL G. GESSNER	4.23	4.24	4.42	4.45	4.42	4.28
11B	THOMAS H. LOCK	4.22	4.32	4.45	4.37	4.36	4.29
15B	CARL R. FOX	4.58	4.39	4.60	4.55	4.44	4.50
15B	ALLEN BADDOUR	4.04	4.09	4.03	4.08	4.18	3.96
17A	ED WILSON	4.77	4.68	4.79	4.70	4.68	4.73
18C	STUART ALBRIGHT	4.13	4.26	4.30	4.30	4.40	4.18
19A	W. ERWIN SPAINHOUR	4.59	4.71	4.65	4.64	4.66	4.63
19B	V. BRADFORD LONG	4.62	4.51	4.69	4.67	4.65	4.61
22B	MARK E. KLASS	4.51	4.25	4.51	4.45	4.40	4.42
25A	C. THOMAS EDWARDS	4.46	4.28	4.26	4.30	4.27	4.32
26B	RICHARD BONER	4.29	4.27	4.16	4.11	4.25	4.21
26C	BOB BELL	4.38	4.27	4.30	4.25	4.24	4.25
27A	JESSE B. CALDWELL III	4.55	4.48	4.47	4.51	4.40	4.50
29B	MARK E. POWELL	4.47	4.10	4.45	4.03	4.40	4.23

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS 1 – 12							
DISTRICT	JUDGE NAME	INTEGRITY & IMPARTIALITY	LEGAL ABILITY	PROFESSIONALISM	COMMUNICATION	ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS	OVERALL PERFORMANCE
1	EULA E. REID	4.31	4.01	4.48	4.37	4.38	4.22
1	ROBERT P. TRIVETTE	4.39	4.41	4.34	4.38	4.41	4.40
2	DARRELL B. CAYTON JR.	4.09	4.28	4.12	4.33	4.33	4.16
2	REGINA R. PARKER	4.63	4.39	4.63	4.56	4.52	4.57
3A	GWYN HILBURN	4.53	4.33	4.55	4.42	4.35	4.42
4	PAUL A. HARDISON	4.29	4.22	4.35	4.35	4.22	4.30
4	SARAH C. SEATON	4.24	4.22	4.29	4.45	4.38	4.28
5	JAMES HENRY FAISON III	4.69	4.28	4.83	4.64	4.60	4.60
5	MELINDA H. CROUCH	3.94	3.87	4.06	3.88	3.86	3.88
5	CHAD HOGSTON	4.22	4.14	4.39	4.35	4.33	4.22
6B	THOMAS L. JONES JR.	4.67	4.58	4.72	4.70	4.66	4.68
7	PELL COOPER	4.64	4.28	4.66	4.36	4.25	4.33
8	BETH HEATH	4.60	4.39	4.61	4.48	4.42	4.51
9A	MARK GALLOWAY	4.47	4.43	4.55	4.47	4.38	4.49
9B	J. HENRY BANKS	4.22	4.12	4.28	4.28	4.12	4.19
10	KRIS D. BAILEY	3.82	3.40	3.80	3.78	3.73	3.63
10	MICHAEL J. DENNING	4.15	3.94	4.31	4.12	4.09	4.04
10	MARGARET EAGLES	4.32	4.24	4.36	4.29	4.29	4.28
10	KEITH O'BRIEN GREGORY	3.74	3.70	3.72	3.85	3.90	3.71
10	NED W. MANGUM	4.54	4.53	4.61	4.54	4.52	4.55
10	LOUIS MEYER	3.95	3.62	4.12	3.94	3.81	3.79
10	VINCE ROZIER JR.	4.44	4.28	4.48	4.42	4.42	4.40
11	CARON H. STEWART	3.95	3.79	4.15	3.99	3.94	3.90
11	ADDIE RAWLS	4.29	4.23	4.24	4.36	4.16	4.26
12	TALMAGE (TAL) BAGGETT	4.29	3.92	4.17	4.10	4.18	4.14
12	GEORGE J. FRANKS	4.44	4.30	4.40	4.37	4.27	4.29
12	DAVID H. HASTY	4.25	4.19	4.24	4.17	3.98	4.20
12	TONI S. KING	4.64	4.45	4.64	4.63	4.49	4.56
12	ROBERT STIEHL	4.16	4.29	4.11	4.02	4.08	4.10

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES, CONTINUED

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS 13 – 19B							
DISTRICT	JUDGE NAME	INTEGRITY & IMPARTIALITY	LEGAL ABILITY	PROFESSIONALISM	COMMUNICATION	ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS	OVERALL PERFORMANCE
13	WILLIAM F. FAIRLEY	4.76	4.68	4.77	4.75	4.71	4.77
13	SHERRY DEW PRINCE	4.00	4.08	4.09	4.20	4.28	4.04
14	PAT EVANS	3.17	3.29	3.10	3.28	3.18	3.19
14	NANCY E. GORDON	2.94	3.29	2.50	2.89	3.21	2.75
14	JAMES T. (JIM) HILL	4.24	4.18	4.21	4.26	4.26	4.19
14	MARCIA H. MOREY	4.28	4.32	4.36	4.31	4.29	4.31
14	DORETTA WALKER	3.65	3.36	3.50	3.47	3.55	3.42
14	BRIAN C. WILKS	4.21	4.15	4.29	4.26	4.22	4.19
15A	BRAD ALLEN	3.79	3.78	3.76	3.94	4.20	3.85
15B	JOSEPH (JOE) MOODY BUCKNER	4.23	4.32	4.22	4.33	4.33	4.28
16A	REGINA M. JOE	4.61	4.51	4.69	4.58	4.59	4.59
16B	J. STANLEY CARMICAL	4.80	4.75	4.79	4.79	4.81	4.82
16B	JOHN B. CARTER JR.	4.56	4.43	4.43	4.49	4.27	4.45
16B	HERBERT L. RICHARDSON	4.44	4.33	4.35	4.48	4.54	4.38
17A	STANLEY L. (STAN) ALLEN	4.18	4.19	4.13	4.12	4.23	4.18
17A	FRED WILKINS	4.28	4.40	4.34	4.19	4.33	4.32
17B	SPENCER G. KEY JR.	4.48	4.31	4.33	4.31	4.10	4.31
17B	CHARLES M. (CHUCK) NEAVES JR.	4.59	4.48	4.58	4.42	4.48	4.53
17B	ANGELA B. PUCKETT	3.61	3.84	3.72	3.71	3.61	3.58
18	WENDY M. ENOCHS	4.28	4.23	4.34	4.26	4.27	4.26
18	H. THOMAS (TOM) JARRELL JR.	4.42	4.47	4.45	4.58	4.46	4.48
19A	BRENT CLONINGER	4.26	3.85	4.13	4.01	4.01	4.08
19A	WILLIAM G. HAMBY JR.	4.23	4.09	4.26	4.16	4.18	4.15
19A	DONNA H. JOHNSON	3.46	3.65	3.38	3.53	3.84	3.48
19A	MARTIN B. (MARTY) McGEE	4.32	4.23	4.31	4.14	4.13	4.16
19B	SCOTT ETHERIDGE	4.15	4.18	4.08	4.27	4.23	4.14
19B	LEE W. GAVIN	4.19	4.03	4.12	4.03	4.01	4.08
19B	JAYRENE RUSSELL MANESS	4.35	4.22	4.22	4.14	4.30	4.15

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES, CONTINUED

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS 19C – 25							
DISTRICT	JUDGE NAME	INTEGRITY & IMPARTIALITY	LEGAL ABILITY	PROFESSIONALISM	COMMUNICATION	ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS	OVERALL PERFORMANCE
19C	CHARLIE BROWN	4.30	4.46	4.24	4.40	4.51	4.34
19C	BETH S. DIXON	3.88	3.94	4.00	4.12	4.04	3.93
19C	KEVIN EDDINGER	4.24	4.27	4.16	4.15	4.09	4.23
20A	SCOTT T. BREWER	3.20	3.56	3.19	3.45	3.59	3.29
20A	WILLIAM C. (BILL) TUCKER	4.43	4.49	4.40	4.42	4.33	4.41
21	GEORGE A. BEDSWORTH	4.40	4.25	4.52	4.34	4.24	4.32
21	DENISE S. HARTSFIELD	3.24	3.60	3.29	3.82	3.51	3.42
21	LISA V. MENELEE	4.51	4.45	4.51	4.51	4.49	4.47
22A	H. THOMAS CHURCH	4.20	4.14	3.97	4.05	3.89	4.03
22B	MARY FOWLER COVINGTON	3.59	3.64	3.22	3.64	3.64	3.56
22B	WAYNE L. MICHAEL	4.44	4.44	4.52	4.43	4.41	4.45
22B	JIMMY LAIRD MYERS	4.22	3.49	4.18	3.91	3.78	3.87
22B	ROD PENRY	4.45	4.24	4.46	4.43	4.46	4.41
22B	CARLTON TERRY	3.92	4.11	3.98	4.05	3.98	3.98
22B	APRIL C. WOOD	3.55	3.55	3.42	3.68	3.38	3.51
23	DAVID V. BYRD	4.00	4.05	3.92	3.87	3.65	3.92
23	MICHAEL D. DUNCAN	4.73	4.61	4.76	4.73	4.65	4.74
24	WARREN HUGHES	4.47	4.30	4.60	4.57	4.53	4.43
25	BOB BRADY	4.39	4.30	4.45	4.21	4.11	4.25
25	SHERRI WILSON ELLIOTT	4.26	4.18	4.23	4.26	4.25	4.26
25	GREGORY R. (GREG) HAYES	3.60	3.80	3.61	4.00	3.79	3.71
25	MARK KILLIAN	4.57	4.40	4.61	4.49	4.36	4.48
25	ROBERT A. MULLINAX JR.	4.45	4.36	4.44	4.44	4.38	4.44
25	AMY SIGMON WALKER	4.12	3.96	3.98	4.08	3.94	3.94

DISTRICT COURT JUDGE CATEGORICAL AVERAGES, CONTINUED

JUDICIAL DISTRICTS 26 – 30							
DISTRICT	JUDGE NAME	INTEGRITY & IMPARTIALITY	LEGAL ABILITY	PROFESSIONALISM	COMMUNICATION	ADMINISTRATIVE SKILLS	OVERALL PERFORMANCE
26	DONALD CURETON JR.	4.59	4.35	4.63	4.49	4.39	4.52
26	RICKYE McKOY-MITCHELL	4.42	4.06	4.45	4.30	4.15	4.24
26	PAIGE B. McTHENIA	4.45	4.39	4.52	4.45	4.38	4.45
26	REGAN A. MILLER	4.38	4.14	4.38	4.28	4.22	4.26
26	THEO NIXON	3.87	4.04	3.80	3.90	3.96	3.85
26	MATT OSMAN	4.06	4.12	3.88	3.92	4.10	4.00
26	SEAN SMITH	3.84	3.95	3.69	3.74	3.90	3.77
26	BECKY THORNE TIN	4.32	4.41	4.35	4.39	4.37	4.35
27A	RALPH C. GINGLES JR.	4.38	4.27	4.47	4.36	4.32	4.37
27A	MICHAEL K. LANDS	3.42	3.42	3.21	3.19	3.37	3.25
27B	ALI PAKSOY JR.	4.65	4.41	4.62	4.53	4.53	4.53
28	EDWIN D. CLONTZ	3.76	3.34	3.70	3.25	3.42	3.38
28	SUSAN M. DOTSON-SMITH	4.16	3.89	4.07	3.98	3.94	4.00
28	JULIE M. KEPPLER	4.64	4.54	4.73	4.75	4.60	4.70
28	WARD D. SCOTT	4.58	4.64	4.52	4.51	4.54	4.54
28	PATRICIA KAUFMANN YOUNG	3.79	3.93	3.58	3.64	3.68	3.68
29A	ROBERT K. MARTELLE	4.23	4.20	4.17	4.24	4.03	4.07
29A	C. RANDY POOL	4.12	4.14	4.09	4.08	4.01	4.11
29A	LAURA ANNE POWELL	4.60	4.56	4.53	4.53	4.46	4.47
30	KRISTINA L. EARWOOD	4.25	3.96	3.95	4.18	4.15	4.09
30	DONNA FORGA	4.30	4.01	4.16	4.19	4.13	4.10
30	ROY WIJEWICKRAMA	4.33	4.23	4.43	4.44	4.31	4.32

INDIVIDUAL SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE REPORTS

3A • MARVIN K. BLOUNT III							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	411	230 (56.0%)	82 (20.0%)	51 (12.4%)	33 (8.0%)	15 (3.6%)	4.17
Legal Ability	407	183 (45.0%)	117 (28.7%)	68 (16.7%)	25 (6.1%)	14 (3.5%)	4.06
Professionalism	413	243 (58.8%)	79 (19.1%)	54 (13.1%)	25 (6.1%)	12 (2.9%)	4.25
Communication	401	199 (49.6%)	111 (27.7%)	57 (14.2%)	22 (5.5%)	12 (3.0%)	4.15
Administrative Skills	312	163 (52.2%)	82 (26.3%)	41 (13.1%)	14 (4.5%)	12 (3.9%)	4.19
Overall Performance	399	203 (50.9%)	95 (23.8%)	52 (13.0%)	36 (9.0%)	13 (3.3%)	4.10

4B • CHARLES H. HENRY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	239	185 (77.4%)	32 (13.4%)	16 (6.7%)	4 (1.7%)	2 (0.8%)	4.65
Legal Ability	243	162 (66.7%)	57 (23.5%)	19 (7.8%)	4 (1.6%)	1 (0.4%)	4.54
Professionalism	241	197 (81.7%)	28 (11.6%)	10 (4.1%)	4 (1.7%)	2 (0.9%)	4.72
Communication	235	161 (68.5%)	52 (22.1%)	15 (6.4%)	6 (2.6%)	1 (0.4%)	4.56
Administrative Skills	199	127 (63.8%)	48 (24.1%)	13 (6.5%)	7 (3.5%)	4 (2.1%)	4.44
Overall Performance	237	167 (70.5%)	46 (19.4%)	17 (7.2%)	5 (2.1%)	2 (0.8%)	4.57

10A • PAUL C. RIDGEWAY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	825	670 (81.2%)	108 (13.1%)	28 (3.4%)	9 (1.1%)	10 (1.2%)	4.72
Legal Ability	822	661 (80.4%)	118 (14.4%)	33 (4.0%)	7 (0.9%)	3 (0.3%)	4.74
Professionalism	829	726 (87.6%)	83 (10.0%)	13 (1.6%)	4 (0.5%)	3 (0.3%)	4.84
Communication	804	646 (80.3%)	128 (15.9%)	25 (3.1%)	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.3%)	4.76
Administrative Skills	677	528 (78.0%)	130 (19.2%)	16 (2.4%)	3 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.75
Overall Performance	815	665 (81.6%)	114 (14.0%)	26 (3.2%)	3 (0.4%)	7 (0.8%)	4.75

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES, CONTINUED

10C • PAUL G. GESSNER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	671	392 (58.4%)	140 (20.9%)	73 (10.9%)	33 (4.9%)	33 (4.9%)	4.23
Legal Ability	671	349 (52.0%)	193 (28.8%)	85 (12.7%)	26 (3.9%)	18 (2.6%)	4.24
Professionalism	669	435 (65.0%)	138 (20.6%)	56 (8.4%)	20 (3.0%)	20 (3.0%)	4.42
Communication	656	407 (62.0%)	168 (25.6%)	59 (9.0%)	13 (2.0%)	9 (1.4%)	4.45
Administrative Skills	552	322 (58.3%)	162 (29.3%)	54 (9.8%)	6 (1.1%)	8 (1.5%)	4.42
Overall Performance	665	382 (57.4%)	166 (25.0%)	64 (9.6%)	30 (4.5%)	23 (3.5%)	4.28

11B • THOMAS H. LOCK							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	367	208 (56.7%)	88 (24.0%)	31 (8.4%)	24 (6.5%)	16 (4.4%)	4.22
Legal Ability	366	201 (54.9%)	110 (30.1%)	35 (9.6%)	12 (3.3%)	8 (2.1%)	4.32
Professionalism	366	245 (66.9%)	71 (19.4%)	32 (8.7%)	7 (1.9%)	11 (3.1%)	4.45
Communication	357	206 (57.7%)	101 (28.3%)	34 (9.5%)	9 (2.5%)	7 (2.0%)	4.37
Administrative Skills	306	186 (60.8%)	71 (23.2%)	30 (9.8%)	10 (3.3%)	9 (2.9%)	4.36
Overall Performance	363	204 (56.2%)	101 (27.8%)	30 (8.3%)	17 (4.7%)	11 (3.0%)	4.29

15B • CARL R. FOX							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	899	645 (71.7%)	169 (18.8%)	51 (5.7%)	27 (3.0%)	7 (0.8%)	4.58
Legal Ability	890	535 (60.1%)	220 (24.7%)	95 (10.7%)	26 (2.9%)	14 (1.6%)	4.39
Professionalism	892	647 (72.5%)	168 (18.8%)	50 (5.6%)	16 (1.8%)	11 (1.3%)	4.60
Communication	874	592 (67.7%)	201 (23.0%)	61 (7.0%)	12 (1.4%)	8 (0.9%)	4.55
Administrative Skills	742	452 (60.9%)	196 (26.4%)	67 (9.0%)	19 (2.6%)	8 (1.1%)	4.44
Overall Performance	881	567 (64.4%)	222 (25.2%)	62 (7.0%)	22 (2.5%)	8 (0.9%)	4.50

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES, CONTINUED

15B • ALLEN BADDOUR							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	719	359 (49.9%)	176 (24.5%)	81 (11.3%)	58 (8.1%)	45 (6.2%)	4.04
Legal Ability	717	360 (50.2%)	177 (24.7%)	99 (13.8%)	44 (6.1%)	37 (5.2%)	4.09
Professionalism	717	380 (53.0%)	152 (21.2%)	71 (9.9%)	58 (8.1%)	56 (7.8%)	4.03
Communication	698	347 (49.7%)	177 (25.4%)	96 (13.8%)	37 (5.3%)	41 (5.8%)	4.08
Administrative Skills	575	294 (51.1%)	161 (28.0%)	71 (12.3%)	25 (4.3%)	24 (4.3%)	4.18
Overall Performance	709	330 (46.5%)	179 (25.2%)	91 (12.8%)	58 (8.2%)	51 (7.3%)	3.96

17A • ED WILSON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	362	303 (83.7%)	38 (10.5%)	18 (5.0%)	3 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4.77
Legal Ability	356	269 (75.6%)	64 (18.0%)	18 (5.1%)	5 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.68
Professionalism	359	302 (84.1%)	40 (11.1%)	14 (3.9%)	3 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.79
Communication	349	269 (77.1%)	61 (17.5%)	15 (4.3%)	4 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4.70
Administrative Skills	296	220 (74.3%)	62 (20.9%)	10 (3.4%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.68
Overall Performance	356	282 (79.2%)	55 (15.4%)	15 (4.2%)	4 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4.73

18C • STUART ALBRIGHT							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	627	382 (60.9%)	93 (14.8%)	51 (8.1%)	54 (8.6%)	47 (7.6%)	4.13
Legal Ability	624	348 (55.8%)	153 (24.5%)	79 (12.7%)	28 (4.5%)	16 (2.5%)	4.26
Professionalism	622	389 (62.5%)	111 (17.8%)	66 (10.6%)	29 (4.7%)	27 (4.4%)	4.30
Communication	618	354 (57.3%)	148 (23.9%)	78 (12.6%)	22 (3.6%)	16 (2.6%)	4.30
Administrative Skills	512	305 (59.6%)	132 (25.8%)	56 (10.9%)	11 (2.1%)	8 (1.6%)	4.40
Overall Performance	616	351 (57.0%)	125 (20.3%)	67 (10.9%)	47 (7.6%)	26 (4.2%)	4.18

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES, CONTINUED

19A • W. ERWIN SPAINHOUR							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	640	502 (78.4%)	72 (11.3%)	28 (4.4%)	20 (3.1%)	18 (2.8%)	4.59
Legal Ability	641	510 (79.6%)	89 (13.9%)	33 (5.1%)	5 (0.8%)	4 (0.6%)	4.71
Professionalism	640	507 (79.2%)	78 (12.2%)	33 (5.2%)	11 (1.7%)	11 (1.7%)	4.65
Communication	628	471 (75.0%)	111 (17.7%)	32 (5.1%)	8 (1.3%)	6 (0.9%)	4.64
Administrative Skills	530	405 (76.4%)	88 (16.6%)	25 (4.7%)	8 (1.5%)	4 (0.8%)	4.66
Overall Performance	631	492 (78.0%)	77 (12.2%)	39 (6.2%)	16 (2.5%)	7 (1.1%)	4.63

19B • V. BRADFORD LONG							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	348	262 (75.3%)	56 (16.1%)	16 (4.6%)	12 (3.4%)	2 (0.6%)	4.62
Legal Ability	347	227 (65.4%)	83 (23.9%)	27 (7.8%)	8 (2.3%)	2 (0.6%)	4.51
Professionalism	347	270 (77.8%)	53 (15.3%)	18 (5.2%)	5 (1.4%)	1 (0.3%)	4.69
Communication	341	257 (75.4%)	60 (17.6%)	21 (6.2%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (0.5%)	4.67
Administrative Skills	296	214 (72.3%)	62 (20.9%)	19 (6.4%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.65
Overall Performance	342	246 (71.9%)	69 (20.2%)	18 (5.3%)	7 (2.0%)	2 (0.6%)	4.61

22B • MARK E. KLASS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	444	302 (68.0%)	98 (22.1%)	24 (5.4%)	10 (2.3%)	10 (2.2%)	4.51
Legal Ability	441	224 (50.8%)	140 (31.7%)	52 (11.8%)	12 (2.7%)	13 (3.0%)	4.25
Professionalism	441	287 (65.1%)	111 (25.2%)	31 (7.0%)	7 (1.6%)	5 (1.1%)	4.51
Communication	435	261 (60.0%)	128 (29.4%)	28 (6.4%)	15 (3.4%)	3 (0.8%)	4.45
Administrative Skills	379	216 (57.0%)	116 (30.6%)	36 (9.5%)	5 (1.3%)	6 (1.6%)	4.40
Overall Performance	439	263 (59.9%)	122 (27.8%)	37 (8.4%)	8 (1.8%)	9 (2.1%)	4.42

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES, CONTINUED

25A • C. THOMAS EDWARDS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	133	88 (66.2%)	26 (19.5%)	14 (10.5%)	2 (1.5%)	3 (2.3%)	4.46
Legal Ability	134	68 (50.7%)	43 (32.1%)	17 (12.7%)	4 (3.0%)	2 (1.5%)	4.28
Professionalism	132	68 (51.5%)	40 (30.3%)	18 (13.6%)	2 (1.5%)	4 (3.1%)	4.26
Communication	129	71 (55.0%)	39 (30.2%)	10 (7.8%)	5 (3.9%)	4 (3.1%)	4.30
Administrative Skills	119	64 (53.8%)	32 (26.9%)	17 (14.3%)	3 (2.5%)	3 (2.5%)	4.27
Overall Performance	132	73 (55.3%)	37 (28.0%)	15 (11.4%)	5 (3.8%)	2 (1.5%)	4.32

26B • RICHARD BONER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	639	369 (57.7%)	155 (24.3%)	66 (10.3%)	29 (4.5%)	20 (3.2%)	4.29
Legal Ability	639	338 (52.9%)	188 (29.4%)	77 (12.1%)	21 (3.3%)	15 (2.3%)	4.27
Professionalism	640	350 (54.7%)	147 (23.0%)	70 (10.9%)	44 (6.9%)	29 (4.5%)	4.16
Communication	633	305 (48.2%)	185 (29.2%)	77 (12.2%)	40 (6.3%)	26 (4.1%)	4.11
Administrative Skills	550	299 (54.4%)	150 (27.3%)	61 (11.1%)	22 (4.0%)	18 (3.2%)	4.25
Overall Performance	633	326 (51.5%)	185 (29.2%)	69 (10.9%)	33 (5.2%)	20 (3.2%)	4.21

26C • BOB BELL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	348	217 (62.4%)	78 (22.4%)	32 (9.2%)	10 (2.9%)	11 (3.1%)	4.38
Legal Ability	351	177 (50.4%)	122 (34.8%)	31 (8.8%)	12 (3.4%)	9 (2.6%)	4.27
Professionalism	348	198 (56.9%)	92 (26.4%)	34 (9.8%)	11 (3.2%)	13 (3.7%)	4.30
Communication	345	170 (49.3%)	119 (34.5%)	39 (11.3%)	6 (1.7%)	11 (3.2%)	4.25
Administrative Skills	296	147 (49.7%)	99 (33.4%)	33 (11.1%)	7 (2.4%)	10 (3.4%)	4.24
Overall Performance	352	179 (50.9%)	115 (32.7%)	38 (10.8%)	8 (2.3%)	12 (3.3%)	4.25

SUPERIOR COURT JUDGES, CONTINUED

27A • JESSE B. CALDWELL III							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	534	385 (72.1%)	94 (17.6%)	30 (5.6%)	13 (2.4%)	12 (2.3%)	4.55
Legal Ability	531	336 (63.3%)	138 (26.0%)	42 (7.9%)	8 (1.5%)	7 (1.3%)	4.48
Professionalism	530	368 (69.4%)	93 (17.5%)	36 (6.8%)	16 (3.0%)	17 (3.3%)	4.47
Communication	522	359 (68.8%)	103 (19.7%)	39 (7.5%)	8 (1.5%)	13 (2.5%)	4.51
Administrative Skills	440	280 (63.6%)	99 (22.5%)	31 (7.0%)	18 (4.1%)	12 (2.8%)	4.40
Overall Performance	524	353 (67.4%)	111 (21.2%)	36 (6.9%)	18 (3.4%)	6 (1.1%)	4.50

29B • MARK E. POWELL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	200	126 (63.0%)	49 (24.5%)	20 (10.0%)	3 (1.5%)	2 (1.0%)	4.47
Legal Ability	202	80 (39.6%)	80 (39.6%)	31 (15.3%)	4 (2.0%)	7 (3.5%)	4.10
Professionalism	198	118 (59.6%)	56 (28.3%)	20 (10.1%)	3 (1.5%)	1 (0.5%)	4.45
Communication	198	72 (36.4%)	79 (39.9%)	30 (15.2%)	14 (7.1%)	3 (1.4%)	4.03
Administrative Skills	159	87 (54.7%)	52 (32.7%)	17 (10.7%)	2 (1.3%)	1 (0.6%)	4.40
Overall Performance	201	93 (46.3%)	73 (36.3%)	27 (13.4%)	5 (2.5%)	3 (1.5%)	4.23

INDIVIDUAL DISTRICT COURT JUDGE REPORTS

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 1

1 • EULA E. REID							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	77	46 (59.7%)	16 (20.8%)	10 (13.0%)	3 (3.9%)	2 (2.6%)	4.31
Legal Ability	78	30 (38.5%)	29 (37.2%)	10 (12.8%)	8 (10.3%)	1 (1.2%)	4.01
Professionalism	80	53 (66.3%)	18 (22.5%)	5 (6.3%)	2 (2.5%)	2 (2.4%)	4.48
Communication	76	41 (53.9%)	26 (34.2%)	7 (9.2%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.7%)	4.37
Administrative Skills	64	36 (56.3%)	20 (31.3%)	5 (7.8%)	2 (3.1%)	1 (1.5%)	4.38
Overall Performance	77	36 (46.8%)	28 (36.4%)	8 (10.4%)	4 (5.2%)	1 (1.2%)	4.22

1 • ROBERT P. TRIVETTE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	80	49 (61.3%)	15 (18.8%)	14 (17.5%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.39
Legal Ability	80	47 (58.8%)	22 (27.5%)	8 (10.0%)	3 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4.41
Professionalism	79	49 (62.0%)	13 (16.5%)	12 (15.2%)	5 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.34
Communication	76	44 (57.9%)	20 (26.3%)	9 (11.9%)	3 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.38
Administrative Skills	61	37 (60.7%)	13 (21.3%)	10 (16.4%)	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4.41
Overall Performance	78	44 (56.4%)	22 (28.2%)	11 (14.1%)	1 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.40

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 2

2 • DARRELL B. CAYTON JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	87	50 (57.5%)	15 (17.2%)	8 (9.2%)	8 (9.2%)	6 (6.9%)	4.09
Legal Ability	86	52 (60.5%)	15 (17.4%)	11 (12.8%)	7 (8.1%)	1 (1.2%)	4.28
Professionalism	86	49 (57.0%)	15 (17.4%)	9 (10.5%)	9 (10.5%)	4 (4.6%)	4.12
Communication	84	53 (63.1%)	14 (16.7%)	10 (11.9%)	6 (7.1%)	1 (1.2%)	4.33
Administrative Skills	66	40 (60.6%)	13 (19.7%)	9 (13.6%)	3 (4.5%)	1 (1.6%)	4.33
Overall Performance	86	49 (57.0%)	15 (17.4%)	11 (12.8%)	9 (10.5%)	2 (2.3%)	4.16

2 • REGINA R. PARKER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	99	73 (73.7%)	20 (20.2%)	3 (3.0%)	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.1%)	4.63
Legal Ability	99	55 (55.6%)	33 (33.3%)	8 (8.1%)	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.0%)	4.39
Professionalism	99	75 (75.8%)	16 (16.2%)	5 (5.1%)	1 (1.0%)	2 (1.9%)	4.63
Communication	98	68 (69.4%)	22 (22.4%)	5 (5.1%)	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.1%)	4.56
Administrative Skills	82	55 (67.1%)	19 (23.2%)	6 (7.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.4%)	4.52
Overall Performance	98	68 (69.4%)	23 (23.5%)	4 (4.1%)	1 (1.0%)	2 (2.0%)	4.57

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 3A

3A • GWYN HILBURN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	128	98 (76.6%)	15 (11.7%)	5 (3.9%)	5 (3.9%)	5 (3.9%)	4.53
Legal Ability	128	80 (62.5%)	28 (21.9%)	7 (5.5%)	8 (6.3%)	5 (3.8%)	4.33
Professionalism	128	98 (76.6%)	15 (11.7%)	6 (4.7%)	6 (4.7%)	3 (2.3%)	4.55
Communication	128	87 (68.0%)	23 (18.0%)	9 (7.0%)	3 (2.3%)	6 (4.7%)	4.42
Administrative Skills	113	73 (64.6%)	19 (16.8%)	12 (10.6%)	5 (4.4%)	4 (3.6%)	4.35
Overall Performance	127	88 (69.3%)	19 (15.0%)	11 (8.7%)	3 (2.4%)	6 (4.6%)	4.42

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 4

4 • PAUL A. HARDISON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	125	79 (63.2%)	21 (16.8%)	12 (9.6%)	8 (6.4%)	5 (4.0%)	4.29
Legal Ability	125	72 (57.6%)	21 (16.8%)	23 (18.4%)	5 (4.0%)	4 (3.2%)	4.22
Professionalism	125	81 (64.8%)	22 (17.6%)	11 (8.8%)	7 (5.6%)	4 (3.2%)	4.35
Communication	124	76 (61.3%)	32 (25.8%)	7 (5.6%)	2 (1.6%)	7 (5.7%)	4.35
Administrative Skills	112	67 (59.8%)	21 (18.8%)	12 (10.7%)	6 (5.4%)	6 (5.3%)	4.22
Overall Performance	125	75 (60.0%)	27 (21.6%)	12 (9.6%)	7 (5.6%)	4 (3.2%)	4.30

4 • SARAH C. SEATON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	97	61 (62.9%)	16 (16.5%)	9 (9.3%)	4 (4.1%)	7 (7.2%)	4.24
Legal Ability	95	56 (58.9%)	20 (21.1%)	10 (10.5%)	2 (2.1%)	7 (7.4%)	4.22
Professionalism	96	63 (65.6%)	14 (14.6%)	9 (9.4%)	4 (4.2%)	6 (6.2%)	4.29
Communication	96	66 (68.8%)	17 (17.7%)	7 (7.3%)	2 (2.1%)	4 (4.1%)	4.45
Administrative Skills	88	57 (64.8%)	17 (19.3%)	8 (9.1%)	2 (2.3%)	4 (4.5%)	4.38
Overall Performance	96	61 (63.5%)	17 (17.7%)	8 (8.3%)	4 (4.2%)	6 (6.3%)	4.28

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 5

5 • JAMES HENRY FAISON III							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	150	119 (79.3%)	23 (15.3%)	3 (2.0%)	2 (1.3%)	3 (2.1%)	4.69
Legal Ability	150	76 (50.7%)	44 (29.3%)	27 (18.0%)	2 (1.3%)	1 (0.7%)	4.28
Professionalism	150	131 (87.3%)	14 (9.3%)	4 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.7%)	4.83
Communication	148	107 (72.3%)	31 (20.9%)	8 (5.4%)	2 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.64
Administrative Skills	123	84 (68.3%)	30 (24.4%)	8 (6.5%)	1 (0.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4.60
Overall Performance	149	101 (67.8%)	39 (26.2%)	6 (4.0%)	3 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.60

5 • MELINDA H. CROUCH							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	109	52 (47.7%)	24 (22.0%)	14 (12.8%)	12 (11.0%)	7 (6.5%)	3.94
Legal Ability	110	44 (40.0%)	30 (27.3%)	20 (18.2%)	10 (9.1%)	6 (5.4%)	3.87
Professionalism	109	52 (47.7%)	30 (27.5%)	17 (15.6%)	2 (1.8%)	8 (7.4%)	4.06
Communication	108	45 (41.7%)	30 (27.8%)	15 (13.9%)	11 (10.2%)	7 (6.4%)	3.88
Administrative Skills	84	36 (42.9%)	21 (25.0%)	13 (15.5%)	7 (8.3%)	7 (8.3%)	3.86
Overall Performance	108	44 (40.7%)	30 (27.8%)	19 (17.6%)	7 (6.5%)	8 (7.4%)	3.88

5 • CHAD HOGSTON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	107	53 (49.5%)	36 (33.6%)	10 (9.3%)	5 (4.7%)	3 (2.9%)	4.22
Legal Ability	107	44 (41.1%)	42 (39.3%)	16 (15.0%)	2 (1.9%)	3 (2.7%)	4.14
Professionalism	106	59 (55.7%)	35 (33.0%)	7 (6.6%)	4 (3.8%)	1 (0.9%)	4.39
Communication	105	56 (53.3%)	35 (33.3%)	10 (9.5%)	3 (2.9%)	1 (1.0%)	4.35
Administrative Skills	87	47 (54.0%)	28 (32.2%)	8 (9.2%)	2 (2.3%)	2 (2.3%)	4.33
Overall Performance	106	48 (45.3%)	41 (38.7%)	11 (10.4%)	4 (3.8%)	2 (1.8%)	4.22

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | **DISTRICT 6B**

6B • THOMAS L. JONES JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	48	41 (85.4%)	3 (6.3%)	1 (2.1%)	1 (2.1%)	2 (4.1%)	4.67
Legal Ability	48	38 (79.2%)	6 (12.5%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (6.2%)	4.58
Professionalism	47	40 (85.1%)	5 (10.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.3%)	4.72
Communication	46	38 (82.6%)	6 (13.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.4%)	4.70
Administrative Skills	44	35 (79.5%)	7 (15.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.6%)	4.66
Overall Performance	47	39 (83.0%)	5 (10.6%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.3%)	4.68

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 7

7 • PELL COOPER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	84	63 (75.0%)	13 (15.5%)	7 (8.3%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4.64
Legal Ability	83	52 (62.7%)	12 (14.5%)	10 (12.0%)	8 (9.6%)	1 (1.2%)	4.28
Professionalism	85	64 (75.3%)	14 (16.5%)	6 (7.0%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4.66
Communication	85	51 (60.0%)	17 (20.0%)	15 (17.6%)	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.2%)	4.36
Administrative Skills	76	45 (59.2%)	12 (15.8%)	14 (18.4%)	3 (3.9%)	2 (2.7%)	4.25
Overall Performance	85	52 (61.2%)	14 (16.5%)	14 (16.4%)	5 (5.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.33

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 8

8 • BETH HEATH							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	84	62 (73.8%)	12 (14.3%)	8 (9.5%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.60
Legal Ability	84	49 (58.3%)	21 (25.0%)	12 (14.3%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.39
Professionalism	85	64 (75.3%)	11 (12.9%)	8 (9.4%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.61
Communication	85	57 (67.1%)	16 (18.8%)	10 (11.8%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.3%)	4.48
Administrative Skills	76	46 (60.5%)	21 (27.6%)	6 (7.9%)	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.7%)	4.42
Overall Performance	84	56 (66.7%)	18 (21.4%)	7 (8.3%)	3 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4.51

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 9A

9A • MARK GALLOWAY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	114	80 (70.2%)	21 (18.4%)	5 (4.4%)	3 (2.6%)	5 (4.4%)	4.47
Legal Ability	115	72 (62.6%)	32 (27.8%)	4 (3.5%)	3 (2.6%)	4 (3.5%)	4.43
Professionalism	113	83 (73.5%)	20 (17.7%)	3 (2.7%)	3 (2.7%)	4 (3.4%)	4.55
Communication	113	77 (68.1%)	22 (19.5%)	7 (6.2%)	4 (3.5%)	3 (2.7%)	4.47
Administrative Skills	105	67 (63.8%)	23 (21.9%)	7 (6.7%)	4 (3.8%)	4 (3.8%)	4.38
Overall Performance	114	77 (67.5%)	26 (22.8%)	4 (3.5%)	4 (3.5%)	3 (2.7%)	4.49

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 9B

9B • J. HENRY BANKS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	91	52 (57.1%)	21 (23.1%)	9 (9.9%)	4 (4.4%)	5 (5.5%)	4.22
Legal Ability	91	47 (51.6%)	22 (24.2%)	12 (13.2%)	6 (6.6%)	4 (4.4%)	4.12
Professionalism	90	56 (62.2%)	14 (15.6%)	13 (14.4%)	3 (3.3%)	4 (4.5%)	4.28
Communication	90	52 (57.8%)	23 (25.6%)	6 (6.7%)	6 (6.7%)	3 (3.2%)	4.28
Administrative Skills	83	44 (53.0%)	20 (24.1%)	9 (10.8%)	5 (6.0%)	5 (6.1%)	4.12
Overall Performance	89	49 (55.1%)	19 (21.3%)	14 (15.7%)	3 (3.4%)	4 (4.5%)	4.19

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 10

10 • KRIS D. BAILEY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	256	85 (33.2%)	90 (35.2%)	44 (17.2%)	25 (9.8%)	12 (4.6%)	3.82
Legal Ability	254	49 (19.3%)	76 (29.9%)	69 (27.2%)	48 (18.9%)	12 (4.7%)	3.40
Professionalism	253	83 (32.8%)	83 (32.8%)	51 (20.2%)	25 (9.9%)	11 (4.3%)	3.80
Communication	250	64 (25.6%)	103 (41.2%)	55 (22.0%)	21 (8.4%)	7 (2.8%)	3.78
Administrative Skills	205	58 (28.3%)	68 (33.2%)	54 (26.3%)	16 (7.8%)	9 (4.4%)	3.73
Overall Performance	249	60 (24.1%)	87 (34.9%)	62 (24.9%)	30 (12.0%)	10 (4.1%)	3.63

10 • MICHAEL J. DENNING							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	271	133 (49.1%)	76 (28.0%)	39 (14.4%)	17 (6.3%)	6 (2.2%)	4.15
Legal Ability	267	94 (35.2%)	105 (39.3%)	34 (12.7%)	26 (9.7%)	8 (3.1%)	3.94
Professionalism	269	150 (55.8%)	72 (26.8%)	31 (11.5%)	12 (4.5%)	4 (1.4%)	4.31
Communication	269	119 (44.2%)	88 (32.7%)	42 (15.6%)	15 (5.6%)	5 (1.9%)	4.12
Administrative Skills	239	104 (43.5%)	78 (32.6%)	39 (16.3%)	11 (4.6%)	7 (3.0%)	4.09
Overall Performance	270	110 (40.7%)	89 (33.0%)	48 (17.8%)	17 (6.3%)	6 (2.2%)	4.04

10 • MARGARET EAGLES							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	361	217 (60.1%)	76 (21.1%)	42 (11.6%)	17 (4.7%)	9 (2.5%)	4.32
Legal Ability	357	188 (52.7%)	100 (28.0%)	43 (12.0%)	17 (4.8%)	9 (2.5%)	4.24
Professionalism	360	216 (60.0%)	88 (24.4%)	34 (9.4%)	14 (3.9%)	8 (2.3%)	4.36
Communication	352	187 (53.1%)	105 (29.8%)	39 (11.1%)	16 (4.5%)	5 (1.5%)	4.29
Administrative Skills	308	163 (52.9%)	95 (30.8%)	32 (10.4%)	12 (3.9%)	6 (2.0%)	4.29
Overall Performance	357	196 (54.9%)	96 (26.9%)	42 (11.8%)	16 (4.5%)	7 (1.9%)	4.28

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 10, CONTINUED

10 • KEITH O'BRIEN GREGORY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	312	138 (44.2%)	63 (20.2%)	43 (13.8%)	29 (9.3%)	39 (12.5%)	3.74
Legal Ability	308	116 (37.7%)	78 (25.3%)	52 (16.9%)	29 (9.4%)	33 (10.7%)	3.70
Professionalism	312	133 (42.6%)	66 (21.2%)	45 (14.4%)	29 (9.3%)	39 (12.5%)	3.72
Communication	307	134 (43.6%)	68 (22.1%)	56 (18.2%)	23 (7.5%)	26 (8.6%)	3.85
Administrative Skills	265	120 (45.3%)	60 (22.6%)	46 (17.4%)	16 (6.0%)	23 (8.7%)	3.90
Overall Performance	307	123 (40.1%)	74 (24.1%)	44 (14.3%)	31 (10.1%)	35 (11.4%)	3.71

10 • NED W. MANGUM							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	364	245 (67.3%)	84 (23.1%)	24 (6.6%)	8 (2.2%)	3 (0.8%)	4.54
Legal Ability	363	233 (64.2%)	99 (27.3%)	25 (6.9%)	3 (0.8%)	3 (0.8%)	4.53
Professionalism	367	258 (70.3%)	83 (22.6%)	19 (5.2%)	5 (1.4%)	2 (0.5%)	4.61
Communication	364	240 (65.9%)	94 (25.8%)	21 (5.8%)	5 (1.4%)	4 (1.1%)	4.54
Administrative Skills	318	195 (61.3%)	101 (31.8%)	15 (4.7%)	5 (1.6%)	2 (0.6%)	4.52
Overall Performance	360	236 (65.6%)	97 (26.9%)	18 (5.0%)	6 (1.7%)	3 (0.8%)	4.55

10 • LOUIS MEYER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	302	157 (52.0%)	54 (17.9%)	40 (13.2%)	20 (6.6%)	31 (10.3%)	3.95
Legal Ability	302	130 (43.0%)	53 (17.5%)	42 (13.9%)	29 (9.6%)	48 (16.0%)	3.62
Professionalism	301	166 (55.1%)	61 (20.3%)	40 (13.3%)	11 (3.7%)	23 (7.6%)	4.12
Communication	294	138 (46.9%)	69 (23.5%)	43 (14.6%)	19 (6.5%)	25 (8.5%)	3.94
Administrative Skills	258	114 (44.2%)	54 (20.9%)	46 (17.8%)	14 (5.4%)	30 (11.7%)	3.81
Overall Performance	297	132 (44.4%)	60 (20.2%)	48 (16.2%)	26 (8.8%)	31 (10.4%)	3.79

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 10, CONTINUED

10 • VINCE ROZIER JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	422	280 (66.4%)	86 (20.4%)	29 (6.9%)	14 (3.3%)	13 (3.0%)	4.44
Legal Ability	422	234 (55.5%)	114 (27.0%)	49 (11.6%)	10 (2.4%)	15 (3.5%)	4.28
Professionalism	428	287 (67.1%)	90 (21.0%)	34 (7.9%)	5 (1.2%)	12 (2.8%)	4.48
Communication	419	265 (63.2%)	96 (22.9%)	39 (9.3%)	9 (2.1%)	10 (2.5%)	4.42
Administrative Skills	364	221 (60.7%)	99 (27.2%)	28 (7.7%)	7 (1.9%)	9 (2.5%)	4.42
Overall Performance	422	259 (61.4%)	101 (23.9%)	43 (10.2%)	8 (1.9%)	11 (2.6%)	4.40

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 11

11 • CARON H. STEWART							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	107	50 (46.7%)	26 (24.3%)	16 (15.0%)	6 (5.6%)	9 (8.4%)	3.95
Legal Ability	107	39 (36.4%)	31 (29.0%)	19 (17.8%)	12 (11.2%)	6 (5.6%)	3.79
Professionalism	107	55 (51.4%)	29 (27.1%)	13 (12.1%)	4 (3.7%)	6 (5.7%)	4.15
Communication	107	48 (44.9%)	29 (27.1%)	17 (15.9%)	7 (6.5%)	6 (5.6%)	3.99
Administrative Skills	98	40 (40.8%)	33 (33.7%)	11 (11.2%)	7 (7.1%)	7 (7.2%)	3.94
Overall Performance	106	43 (40.6%)	31 (29.2%)	18 (17.0%)	6 (5.7%)	8 (7.5%)	3.90

11 • ADDIE RAWLS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	158	94 (59.5%)	38 (24.1%)	11 (7.0%)	8 (5.1%)	7 (4.3%)	4.29
Legal Ability	158	82 (51.9%)	44 (27.8%)	21 (13.3%)	8 (5.1%)	3 (1.9%)	4.23
Professionalism	159	84 (52.8%)	46 (28.9%)	15 (9.4%)	11 (6.9%)	3 (2.0%)	4.24
Communication	159	94 (59.1%)	42 (26.4%)	15 (9.4%)	3 (1.9%)	5 (3.2%)	4.36
Administrative Skills	141	74 (52.5%)	33 (23.4%)	21 (14.9%)	9 (6.4%)	4 (2.8%)	4.16
Overall Performance	159	85 (53.5%)	44 (27.7%)	20 (12.6%)	7 (4.4%)	3 (1.8%)	4.26

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 12

12 • TALMAGE (TAL) BAGGETT							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	84	43 (51.2%)	29 (34.5%)	8 (9.5%)	1 (1.2%)	3 (3.6%)	4.29
Legal Ability	84	34 (40.5%)	22 (26.2%)	20 (23.8%)	3 (3.6%)	5 (5.9%)	3.92
Professionalism	84	44 (52.4%)	22 (26.2%)	9 (10.7%)	6 (7.1%)	3 (3.6%)	4.17
Communication	84	38 (45.2%)	25 (29.8%)	16 (19.0%)	1 (1.2%)	4 (4.8%)	4.10
Administrative Skills	71	32 (45.1%)	25 (35.2%)	11 (15.5%)	1 (1.4%)	2 (2.8%)	4.18
Overall Performance	85	38 (44.7%)	29 (34.1%)	12 (14.1%)	4 (4.7%)	2 (2.4%)	4.14

12 • GEORGE J. FRANKS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	82	53 (64.6%)	16 (19.5%)	10 (12.2%)	2 (2.4%)	1 (1.3%)	4.44
Legal Ability	82	44 (53.7%)	20 (24.4%)	17 (20.7%)	1 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)	4.30
Professionalism	82	50 (61.0%)	19 (23.2%)	10 (12.2%)	2 (2.4%)	1 (1.2%)	4.40
Communication	81	48 (59.3%)	19 (23.5%)	11 (13.6%)	2 (2.5%)	1 (1.1%)	4.37
Administrative Skills	73	41 (56.2%)	14 (19.2%)	16 (21.9%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.3%)	4.27
Overall Performance	83	44 (53.0%)	23 (27.7%)	12 (14.5%)	4 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4.29

12 • DAVID H. HASTY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	75	35 (46.7%)	28 (37.3%)	9 (12.0%)	2 (2.7%)	1 (1.3%)	4.25
Legal Ability	75	32 (42.7%)	29 (38.7%)	11 (14.7%)	2 (2.7%)	1 (1.2%)	4.19
Professionalism	75	36 (48.0%)	25 (33.3%)	11 (14.7%)	2 (2.7%)	1 (1.3%)	4.24
Communication	75	29 (38.7%)	33 (44.0%)	10 (13.3%)	3 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.17
Administrative Skills	63	24 (38.1%)	24 (38.1%)	8 (12.7%)	4 (6.3%)	3 (4.8%)	3.98
Overall Performance	76	31 (40.8%)	32 (42.1%)	11 (14.5%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.3%)	4.20

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 12, CONTINUED

12 • TONI S. KING							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	88	66 (75.0%)	16 (18.2%)	3 (3.4%)	2 (2.3%)	1 (1.1%)	4.64
Legal Ability	86	54 (62.8%)	22 (25.6%)	6 (7.0%)	3 (3.5%)	1 (1.1%)	4.45
Professionalism	87	64 (73.6%)	18 (20.7%)	2 (2.3%)	3 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.64
Communication	86	60 (69.8%)	22 (25.6%)	2 (2.3%)	2 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.63
Administrative Skills	77	48 (62.3%)	22 (28.6%)	4 (5.2%)	3 (3.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.49
Overall Performance	88	59 (67.0%)	23 (26.1%)	3 (3.4%)	2 (2.3%)	1 (1.2%)	4.56

12 • ROBERT STIEHL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	105	59 (56.2%)	23 (21.9%)	10 (9.5%)	7 (6.7%)	6 (5.7%)	4.16
Legal Ability	104	56 (53.8%)	30 (28.8%)	11 (10.6%)	6 (5.8%)	1 (1.0%)	4.29
Professionalism	105	55 (52.4%)	24 (22.9%)	14 (13.3%)	7 (6.7%)	5 (4.7%)	4.11
Communication	102	48 (47.1%)	27 (26.5%)	14 (13.7%)	7 (6.9%)	6 (5.8%)	4.02
Administrative Skills	89	45 (50.6%)	21 (23.6%)	12 (13.5%)	7 (7.9%)	4 (4.4%)	4.08
Overall Performance	105	52 (49.5%)	28 (26.7%)	14 (13.3%)	6 (5.7%)	5 (4.8%)	4.10

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 13

13 • WILLIAM F. FAIRLEY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	76	63 (82.9%)	9 (11.8%)	3 (4.0%)	1 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.76
Legal Ability	76	58 (76.3%)	14 (18.4%)	2 (2.7%)	2 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4.68
Professionalism	75	62 (82.7%)	11 (14.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4.77
Communication	75	59 (78.7%)	14 (18.7%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.75
Administrative Skills	69	53 (76.8%)	13 (18.8%)	2 (2.9%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	4.71
Overall Performance	74	61 (82.4%)	11 (14.9%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4.77

13 • SHERRY DEW PRINCE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	75	38 (50.7%)	18 (24.0%)	7 (9.3%)	5 (6.7%)	7 (9.3%)	4.00
Legal Ability	74	36 (48.6%)	22 (29.7%)	7 (9.5%)	4 (5.4%)	5 (6.8%)	4.08
Professionalism	74	41 (55.4%)	16 (21.6%)	4 (5.4%)	9 (12.2%)	4 (5.4%)	4.09
Communication	74	39 (52.7%)	20 (27.0%)	10 (13.5%)	1 (1.4%)	4 (5.4%)	4.20
Administrative Skills	65	34 (52.3%)	22 (33.8%)	4 (6.2%)	3 (4.6%)	2 (3.1%)	4.28
Overall Performance	73	37 (50.7%)	18 (24.7%)	6 (8.2%)	8 (11.0%)	4 (5.4%)	4.04

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 14

14 • PAT EVANS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	212	63 (29.7%)	39 (18.4%)	31 (14.6%)	28 (13.2%)	51 (24.1%)	3.17
Legal Ability	212	60 (28.3%)	49 (23.1%)	35 (16.5%)	28 (13.2%)	40 (18.9%)	3.29
Professionalism	215	66 (30.7%)	29 (13.5%)	34 (15.8%)	32 (14.9%)	54 (25.1%)	3.10
Communication	211	62 (29.4%)	45 (21.3%)	37 (17.5%)	25 (11.8%)	42 (20.0%)	3.28
Administrative Skills	175	52 (29.7%)	34 (19.4%)	26 (14.9%)	19 (10.9%)	44 (25.1%)	3.18
Overall Performance	211	64 (30.3%)	38 (18.0%)	32 (15.2%)	28 (13.3%)	49 (23.2%)	3.19

14 • NANCY E. GORDON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	267	58 (21.7%)	49 (18.4%)	49 (18.4%)	40 (15.0%)	71 (26.5%)	2.94
Legal Ability	266	76 (28.6%)	61 (22.9%)	46 (17.3%)	29 (10.9%)	54 (20.3%)	3.29
Professionalism	268	41 (15.3%)	38 (14.2%)	43 (16.0%)	39 (14.6%)	107 (39.9%)	2.50
Communication	266	49 (18.4%)	54 (20.3%)	56 (21.1%)	33 (12.4%)	74 (27.8%)	2.89
Administrative Skills	226	58 (25.7%)	52 (23.0%)	43 (19.0%)	25 (11.1%)	48 (21.2%)	3.21
Overall Performance	268	43 (16.0%)	52 (19.4%)	44 (16.4%)	54 (20.1%)	75 (28.1%)	2.75

14 • JAMES T. (JIM) HILL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	247	141 (57.1%)	57 (23.1%)	29 (11.7%)	7 (2.8%)	13 (5.3%)	4.24
Legal Ability	246	116 (47.2%)	82 (33.3%)	30 (12.2%)	13 (5.3%)	5 (2.0%)	4.18
Professionalism	247	132 (53.4%)	68 (27.5%)	26 (10.5%)	10 (4.0%)	11 (4.6%)	4.21
Communication	246	129 (52.4%)	76 (30.9%)	26 (10.6%)	5 (2.0%)	10 (4.1%)	4.26
Administrative Skills	214	111 (51.9%)	65 (30.4%)	25 (11.7%)	8 (3.7%)	5 (2.3%)	4.26
Overall Performance	247	121 (49.0%)	80 (32.4%)	27 (10.9%)	9 (3.6%)	10 (4.1%)	4.19

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 14, CONTINUED

14 • MARCIA H. MOREY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	269	153 (56.9%)	70 (26.0%)	24 (8.9%)	13 (4.8%)	9 (3.4%)	4.28
Legal Ability	271	151 (55.7%)	77 (28.4%)	30 (11.1%)	6 (2.2%)	7 (2.6%)	4.32
Professionalism	269	165 (61.3%)	60 (22.3%)	25 (9.3%)	13 (4.8%)	6 (2.3%)	4.36
Communication	266	146 (54.9%)	78 (29.3%)	29 (10.9%)	5 (1.9%)	8 (3.0%)	4.31
Administrative Skills	248	135 (54.4%)	74 (29.8%)	25 (10.1%)	5 (2.0%)	9 (3.7%)	4.29
Overall Performance	269	145 (53.9%)	83 (30.9%)	25 (9.3%)	11 (4.1%)	5 (1.8%)	4.31

14 • DORETTA WALKER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	234	80 (34.2%)	55 (23.5%)	54 (23.1%)	26 (11.1%)	19 (8.1%)	3.65
Legal Ability	236	60 (25.4%)	55 (23.3%)	58 (24.6%)	35 (14.8%)	28 (11.9%)	3.36
Professionalism	236	73 (30.9%)	60 (25.4%)	43 (18.2%)	33 (14.0%)	27 (11.5%)	3.50
Communication	231	64 (27.7%)	55 (23.8%)	60 (26.0%)	30 (13.0%)	22 (9.5%)	3.47
Administrative Skills	191	56 (29.3%)	48 (25.1%)	49 (25.7%)	21 (11.0%)	17 (8.9%)	3.55
Overall Performance	235	62 (26.4%)	53 (22.6%)	62 (26.4%)	38 (16.2%)	20 (8.4%)	3.42

14 • BRIAN C. WILKS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	199	108 (54.3%)	46 (23.1%)	28 (14.1%)	13 (6.5%)	4 (2.0%)	4.21
Legal Ability	201	97 (48.3%)	57 (28.4%)	31 (15.4%)	13 (6.5%)	3 (1.4%)	4.15
Professionalism	201	113 (56.2%)	48 (23.9%)	29 (14.4%)	7 (3.5%)	4 (2.0%)	4.29
Communication	196	101 (51.5%)	60 (30.6%)	22 (11.2%)	10 (5.1%)	3 (1.6%)	4.26
Administrative Skills	174	88 (50.6%)	51 (29.3%)	24 (13.8%)	7 (4.0%)	4 (2.3%)	4.22
Overall Performance	199	99 (49.7%)	54 (27.1%)	32 (16.1%)	13 (6.5%)	1 (0.6%)	4.19

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 15A

15A • BRAD ALLEN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	147	73 (49.7%)	22 (15.0%)	18 (12.2%)	16 (10.9%)	18 (12.2%)	3.79
Legal Ability	148	54 (36.5%)	45 (30.4%)	24 (16.2%)	13 (8.8%)	12 (8.1%)	3.78
Professionalism	148	66 (44.6%)	32 (21.6%)	17 (11.5%)	15 (10.1%)	18 (12.2%)	3.76
Communication	146	63 (43.2%)	40 (27.4%)	24 (16.4%)	9 (6.2%)	10 (6.8%)	3.94
Administrative Skills	129	68 (52.7%)	33 (25.6%)	19 (14.7%)	4 (3.1%)	5 (3.9%)	4.20
Overall Performance	147	63 (42.9%)	36 (24.5%)	22 (15.0%)	15 (10.2%)	11 (7.4%)	3.85

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 15B

15B • JOSEPH (JOE) MOODY BUCKNER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	308	187 (60.7%)	54 (17.5%)	32 (10.4%)	21 (6.8%)	14 (4.6%)	4.23
Legal Ability	308	180 (58.4%)	75 (24.4%)	33 (10.7%)	13 (4.2%)	7 (2.3%)	4.32
Professionalism	310	178 (57.4%)	68 (21.9%)	29 (9.4%)	23 (7.4%)	12 (3.9%)	4.22
Communication	307	188 (61.2%)	64 (20.8%)	33 (10.7%)	12 (3.9%)	10 (3.4%)	4.33
Administrative Skills	294	188 (63.9%)	56 (19.0%)	24 (8.2%)	12 (4.1%)	14 (4.8%)	4.33
Overall Performance	305	179 (58.7%)	65 (21.3%)	36 (11.8%)	18 (5.9%)	7 (2.3%)	4.28

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 16A

16A • REGINA M. JOE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	76	57 (75.0%)	13 (17.1%)	2 (2.6%)	3 (3.9%)	1 (1.4%)	4.61
Legal Ability	77	52 (67.5%)	16 (20.8%)	6 (7.8%)	2 (2.6%)	1 (1.3%)	4.51
Professionalism	77	62 (80.5%)	10 (13.0%)	2 (2.6%)	2 (2.6%)	1 (1.3%)	4.69
Communication	77	58 (75.3%)	11 (14.3%)	5 (6.5%)	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.6%)	4.58
Administrative Skills	70	52 (74.3%)	10 (14.3%)	6 (8.6%)	1 (1.4%)	1 (1.4%)	4.59
Overall Performance	76	55 (72.4%)	15 (19.7%)	3 (3.9%)	2 (2.6%)	1 (1.4%)	4.59

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 16B

16B • J. STANLEY CARMICAL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	66	57 (86.4%)	7 (10.6%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.5%)	4.80
Legal Ability	67	52 (77.6%)	13 (19.4%)	2 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.75
Professionalism	68	58 (85.3%)	8 (11.8%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.4%)	4.79
Communication	67	55 (82.1%)	10 (14.9%)	2 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.79
Administrative Skills	64	53 (82.8%)	10 (15.6%)	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.81
Overall Performance	67	57 (85.1%)	8 (11.9%)	2 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.82

16B • JOHN B. CARTER JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	54	38 (70.4%)	10 (18.5%)	4 (7.4%)	2 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4.56
Legal Ability	54	32 (59.3%)	15 (27.8%)	6 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (1.8%)	4.43
Professionalism	54	36 (66.7%)	10 (18.5%)	4 (7.4%)	3 (5.6%)	1 (1.8%)	4.43
Communication	53	34 (64.2%)	11 (20.8%)	8 (15.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.49
Administrative Skills	49	29 (59.2%)	11 (22.4%)	4 (8.2%)	3 (6.1%)	2 (4.1%)	4.27
Overall Performance	53	35 (66.0%)	9 (17.0%)	7 (13.2%)	2 (3.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4.45

16B • HERBERT L. RICHARDSON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	62	40 (64.5%)	14 (22.6%)	5 (8.1%)	1 (1.6%)	2 (3.2%)	4.44
Legal Ability	63	35 (55.6%)	19 (30.2%)	5 (7.9%)	3 (4.8%)	1 (1.5%)	4.33
Professionalism	63	38 (60.3%)	16 (25.4%)	4 (6.3%)	3 (4.8%)	2 (3.2%)	4.35
Communication	64	44 (68.8%)	13 (20.3%)	3 (4.7%)	2 (3.1%)	2 (3.1%)	4.48
Administrative Skills	54	35 (64.8%)	16 (29.6%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.8%)	4.54
Overall Performance	64	38 (59.4%)	18 (28.1%)	4 (6.3%)	2 (3.1%)	2 (3.1%)	4.38

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 17A

17A • STANLEY L. (STAN) ALLEN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	67	32 (47.8%)	21 (31.3%)	9 (13.4%)	4 (6.0%)	1 (1.5%)	4.18
Legal Ability	68	33 (48.5%)	22 (32.4%)	7 (10.3%)	5 (7.4%)	1 (1.4%)	4.19
Professionalism	67	33 (49.3%)	16 (23.9%)	13 (19.4%)	4 (6.0%)	1 (1.4%)	4.13
Communication	67	33 (49.3%)	16 (23.9%)	11 (16.4%)	7 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.12
Administrative Skills	60	31 (51.7%)	18 (30.0%)	6 (10.0%)	4 (6.7%)	1 (1.6%)	4.23
Overall Performance	66	32 (48.5%)	20 (30.3%)	8 (12.1%)	6 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4.18

17A • FRED WILKINS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	67	42 (62.7%)	13 (19.4%)	5 (7.5%)	3 (4.5%)	4 (5.9%)	4.28
Legal Ability	68	43 (63.2%)	17 (25.0%)	2 (2.9%)	4 (5.9%)	2 (3.0%)	4.40
Professionalism	68	43 (63.2%)	15 (22.1%)	4 (5.9%)	2 (2.9%)	4 (5.9%)	4.34
Communication	68	38 (55.9%)	17 (25.0%)	5 (7.4%)	4 (5.9%)	4 (5.8%)	4.19
Administrative Skills	63	39 (61.9%)	14 (22.2%)	6 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (6.4%)	4.33
Overall Performance	68	41 (60.3%)	17 (25.0%)	4 (5.9%)	3 (4.4%)	3 (4.4%)	4.32

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 17B

17B • SPENCER G. KEY JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	75	44 (58.7%)	26 (34.7%)	3 (4.0%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.3%)	4.48
Legal Ability	75	37 (49.3%)	26 (34.7%)	10 (13.3%)	2 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4.31
Professionalism	75	42 (56.0%)	21 (28.0%)	8 (10.7%)	3 (4.0%)	1 (1.3%)	4.33
Communication	75	36 (48.0%)	29 (38.7%)	8 (10.7%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.3%)	4.31
Administrative Skills	69	33 (47.8%)	19 (27.5%)	10 (14.5%)	5 (7.2%)	2 (3.0%)	4.10
Overall Performance	75	38 (50.7%)	25 (33.3%)	10 (13.3%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.4%)	4.31

17B • CHARLES M. (CHUCK) NEAVES JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	95	65 (68.4%)	25 (26.3%)	3 (3.2%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.1%)	4.59
Legal Ability	95	60 (63.2%)	25 (26.3%)	7 (7.4%)	2 (2.1%)	1 (1.0%)	4.48
Professionalism	95	65 (68.4%)	23 (24.2%)	5 (5.3%)	1 (1.1%)	1 (1.0%)	4.58
Communication	93	55 (59.1%)	26 (28.0%)	9 (9.7%)	2 (2.2%)	1 (1.0%)	4.42
Administrative Skills	89	56 (62.9%)	25 (28.1%)	5 (5.6%)	1 (1.1%)	2 (2.3%)	4.48
Overall Performance	97	65 (67.0%)	22 (22.7%)	7 (7.2%)	2 (2.1%)	1 (1.0%)	4.53

17B • ANGELA B. PUCKETT							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	74	28 (37.8%)	13 (17.6%)	17 (23.0%)	8 (10.8%)	8 (10.8%)	3.61
Legal Ability	74	26 (35.1%)	27 (36.5%)	11 (14.9%)	3 (4.1%)	7 (9.4%)	3.84
Professionalism	74	28 (37.8%)	21 (28.4%)	10 (13.5%)	6 (8.1%)	9 (12.2%)	3.72
Communication	73	26 (35.6%)	23 (31.5%)	10 (13.7%)	5 (6.8%)	9 (12.4%)	3.71
Administrative Skills	67	23 (34.3%)	19 (28.4%)	11 (16.4%)	4 (6.0%)	10 (14.9%)	3.61
Overall Performance	74	23 (31.1%)	19 (25.7%)	17 (23.0%)	8 (10.8%)	7 (9.4%)	3.58

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 18

18 • WENDY M. ENOCHS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	234	131 (56.0%)	59 (25.2%)	30 (12.8%)	6 (2.6%)	8 (3.4%)	4.28
Legal Ability	235	119 (50.6%)	72 (30.6%)	29 (12.3%)	8 (3.4%)	7 (3.1%)	4.23
Professionalism	234	142 (60.7%)	53 (22.6%)	24 (10.3%)	6 (2.6%)	9 (3.8%)	4.34
Communication	234	125 (53.4%)	67 (28.6%)	30 (12.8%)	2 (0.9%)	10 (4.3%)	4.26
Administrative Skills	220	124 (56.4%)	58 (26.4%)	21 (9.5%)	7 (3.2%)	10 (4.5%)	4.27
Overall Performance	232	125 (53.9%)	63 (27.2%)	31 (13.4%)	5 (2.2%)	8 (3.3%)	4.26

18 • H. THOMAS (TOM) JARRELL JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	250	161 (64.4%)	56 (22.4%)	16 (6.4%)	10 (4.0%)	7 (2.8%)	4.42
Legal Ability	250	151 (60.4%)	70 (28.0%)	25 (10.0%)	4 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4.47
Professionalism	250	160 (64.0%)	58 (23.2%)	21 (8.4%)	7 (2.8%)	4 (1.6%)	4.45
Communication	248	169 (68.1%)	58 (23.4%)	16 (6.5%)	5 (2.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.58
Administrative Skills	226	143 (63.3%)	56 (24.8%)	17 (7.5%)	8 (3.5%)	2 (0.9%)	4.46
Overall Performance	247	159 (64.4%)	59 (23.9%)	20 (8.1%)	7 (2.8%)	2 (0.8%)	4.48

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 19A

19A • BRENT CLONINGER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	86	53 (61.6%)	15 (17.4%)	8 (9.3%)	7 (8.1%)	3 (3.6%)	4.26
Legal Ability	88	36 (40.9%)	26 (29.5%)	11 (12.5%)	7 (8.0%)	8 (9.1%)	3.85
Professionalism	87	47 (54.0%)	19 (21.8%)	11 (12.6%)	5 (5.7%)	5 (5.9%)	4.13
Communication	88	42 (47.7%)	25 (28.4%)	9 (10.2%)	4 (4.5%)	8 (9.2%)	4.01
Administrative Skills	82	37 (45.1%)	24 (29.3%)	11 (13.4%)	5 (6.1%)	5 (6.1%)	4.01
Overall Performance	87	40 (46.0%)	28 (32.2%)	9 (10.3%)	6 (6.9%)	4 (4.6%)	4.08

19A • WILLIAM G. HAMBY JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	140	87 (62.1%)	26 (18.6%)	9 (6.4%)	8 (5.7%)	10 (7.2%)	4.23
Legal Ability	140	75 (53.6%)	31 (22.1%)	15 (10.7%)	9 (6.4%)	10 (7.2%)	4.09
Professionalism	141	88 (62.4%)	28 (19.9%)	8 (5.7%)	7 (5.0%)	10 (7.0%)	4.26
Communication	140	75 (53.6%)	37 (26.4%)	12 (8.6%)	7 (5.0%)	9 (6.4%)	4.16
Administrative Skills	131	72 (55.0%)	31 (23.7%)	14 (10.7%)	7 (5.3%)	7 (5.3%)	4.18
Overall Performance	141	76 (53.9%)	38 (27.0%)	9 (6.4%)	8 (5.7%)	10 (7.0%)	4.15

19A • DONNA H. JOHNSON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	85	31 (36.5%)	19 (22.4%)	8 (9.4%)	12 (14.1%)	15 (17.6%)	3.46
Legal Ability	84	30 (35.7%)	21 (25.0%)	16 (19.0%)	8 (9.5%)	9 (10.8%)	3.65
Professionalism	85	31 (36.5%)	15 (17.6%)	12 (14.1%)	9 (10.6%)	18 (21.2%)	3.38
Communication	85	29 (34.1%)	22 (25.9%)	13 (15.3%)	7 (8.2%)	14 (16.5%)	3.53
Administrative Skills	80	36 (45.0%)	18 (22.5%)	13 (16.3%)	3 (3.8%)	10 (12.4%)	3.84
Overall Performance	84	31 (36.9%)	16 (19.0%)	11 (13.1%)	14 (16.7%)	12 (14.3%)	3.48

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 19A, CONTINUED

19A • MARTIN B. (MARTY) McGEE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	132	87 (65.9%)	20 (15.2%)	12 (9.1%)	6 (4.5%)	7 (5.3%)	4.32
Legal Ability	132	75 (56.8%)	32 (24.2%)	13 (9.8%)	5 (3.8%)	7 (5.4%)	4.23
Professionalism	134	81 (60.4%)	30 (22.4%)	12 (9.0%)	5 (3.7%)	6 (4.5%)	4.31
Communication	132	68 (51.5%)	35 (26.5%)	16 (12.1%)	6 (4.5%)	7 (5.4%)	4.14
Administrative Skills	119	64 (53.8%)	26 (21.8%)	15 (12.6%)	8 (6.7%)	6 (5.1%)	4.13
Overall Performance	133	73 (54.9%)	31 (23.3%)	13 (9.8%)	9 (6.8%)	7 (5.2%)	4.16

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 19B

19B • SCOTT ETHERIDGE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	110	61 (55.5%)	20 (18.2%)	18 (16.4%)	7 (6.4%)	4 (3.5%)	4.15
Legal Ability	110	55 (50.0%)	31 (28.2%)	15 (13.6%)	7 (6.4%)	2 (1.8%)	4.18
Professionalism	112	52 (46.4%)	34 (30.4%)	16 (14.3%)	3 (2.7%)	7 (6.2%)	4.08
Communication	109	58 (53.2%)	28 (25.7%)	18 (16.5%)	4 (3.7%)	1 (0.9%)	4.27
Administrative Skills	102	53 (52.0%)	31 (30.4%)	10 (9.8%)	4 (3.9%)	4 (3.9%)	4.23
Overall Performance	108	50 (46.3%)	35 (32.4%)	16 (14.8%)	2 (1.9%)	5 (4.6%)	4.14

19B • LEE W. GAVIN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	108	63 (58.3%)	21 (19.4%)	11 (10.2%)	7 (6.5%)	6 (5.6%)	4.19
Legal Ability	109	51 (46.8%)	31 (28.4%)	16 (14.7%)	1 (0.9%)	10 (9.2%)	4.03
Professionalism	107	58 (54.2%)	23 (21.5%)	15 (14.0%)	3 (2.8%)	8 (7.5%)	4.12
Communication	106	51 (48.1%)	29 (27.4%)	12 (11.3%)	6 (5.7%)	8 (7.5%)	4.03
Administrative Skills	98	48 (49.0%)	23 (23.5%)	15 (15.3%)	4 (4.1%)	8 (8.1%)	4.01
Overall Performance	105	51 (48.6%)	29 (27.6%)	14 (13.3%)	4 (3.8%)	7 (6.7%)	4.08

19B • JAYRENE RUSSELL MANESS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	101	59 (58.4%)	27 (26.7%)	9 (8.9%)	3 (3.0%)	3 (3.0%)	4.35
Legal Ability	101	52 (51.5%)	31 (30.7%)	11 (10.9%)	2 (2.0%)	5 (4.9%)	4.22
Professionalism	101	55 (54.5%)	27 (26.7%)	11 (10.9%)	2 (2.0%)	6 (5.9%)	4.22
Communication	100	48 (48.0%)	32 (32.0%)	10 (10.0%)	6 (6.0%)	4 (4.0%)	4.14
Administrative Skills	98	57 (58.2%)	24 (24.5%)	10 (10.2%)	3 (3.1%)	4 (4.0%)	4.30
Overall Performance	99	48 (48.5%)	32 (32.3%)	11 (11.1%)	2 (2.0%)	6 (6.1%)	4.15

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 19C

19C • CHARLIE BROWN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	94	62 (66.0%)	13 (13.8%)	7 (7.4%)	9 (9.6%)	3 (3.2%)	4.30
Legal Ability	92	64 (69.6%)	15 (16.3%)	6 (6.5%)	5 (5.4%)	2 (2.2%)	4.46
Professionalism	94	63 (67.0%)	11 (11.7%)	5 (5.3%)	10 (10.6%)	5 (5.4%)	4.24
Communication	94	67 (71.3%)	8 (8.5%)	11 (11.7%)	6 (6.4%)	2 (2.1%)	4.40
Administrative Skills	89	67 (75.3%)	8 (9.0%)	8 (9.0%)	4 (4.5%)	2 (2.2%)	4.51
Overall Performance	94	64 (68.1%)	13 (13.8%)	5 (5.3%)	9 (9.6%)	3 (3.2%)	4.34

19C • BETH S. DIXON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	83	37 (44.6%)	21 (25.3%)	10 (12.0%)	8 (9.6%)	7 (8.5%)	3.88
Legal Ability	82	38 (46.3%)	20 (24.4%)	12 (14.6%)	5 (6.1%)	7 (8.6%)	3.94
Professionalism	82	41 (50.0%)	17 (20.7%)	13 (15.9%)	5 (6.1%)	6 (7.3%)	4.00
Communication	81	43 (53.1%)	17 (21.0%)	13 (16.0%)	4 (4.9%)	4 (5.0%)	4.12
Administrative Skills	73	38 (52.1%)	15 (20.5%)	10 (13.7%)	5 (6.8%)	5 (6.9%)	4.04
Overall Performance	81	38 (46.9%)	17 (21.0%)	14 (17.3%)	6 (7.4%)	6 (7.4%)	3.93

19C • KEVIN EDDINGER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	62	37 (59.7%)	10 (16.1%)	10 (16.1%)	3 (4.8%)	2 (3.3%)	4.24
Legal Ability	62	36 (58.1%)	15 (24.2%)	6 (9.7%)	2 (3.2%)	3 (4.8%)	4.27
Professionalism	62	34 (54.8%)	13 (21.0%)	9 (14.5%)	3 (4.8%)	3 (4.9%)	4.16
Communication	61	32 (52.5%)	11 (18.0%)	14 (23.0%)	3 (4.9%)	1 (1.6%)	4.15
Administrative Skills	57	27 (47.4%)	13 (22.8%)	14 (24.6%)	1 (1.8%)	2 (3.4%)	4.09
Overall Performance	62	34 (54.8%)	13 (21.0%)	12 (19.4%)	1 (1.6%)	2 (3.2%)	4.23

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 20A

20A • SCOTT T. BREWER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	121	34 (28.1%)	26 (21.5%)	14 (11.6%)	24 (19.8%)	23 (19.0%)	3.20
Legal Ability	120	39 (32.5%)	35 (29.2%)	18 (15.0%)	10 (8.3%)	18 (15.0%)	3.56
Professionalism	122	33 (27.0%)	23 (18.9%)	24 (19.7%)	18 (14.8%)	24 (19.6%)	3.19
Communication	120	35 (29.2%)	28 (23.3%)	29 (24.2%)	12 (10.0%)	16 (13.3%)	3.45
Administrative Skills	110	42 (38.2%)	25 (22.7%)	17 (15.5%)	8 (7.3%)	18 (16.3%)	3.59
Overall Performance	119	34 (28.6%)	28 (23.5%)	17 (14.3%)	18 (15.1%)	22 (18.5%)	3.29

20A • WILLIAM C. (BILL) TUCKER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	84	60 (71.4%)	13 (15.5%)	3 (3.6%)	3 (3.6%)	5 (5.9%)	4.43
Legal Ability	85	56 (65.9%)	20 (23.5%)	6 (7.1%)	1 (1.2%)	2 (2.3%)	4.49
Professionalism	85	62 (72.9%)	10 (11.8%)	3 (3.5%)	5 (5.9%)	5 (5.9%)	4.40
Communication	85	57 (67.1%)	16 (18.8%)	6 (7.1%)	3 (3.5%)	3 (3.5%)	4.42
Administrative Skills	73	49 (67.1%)	10 (13.7%)	7 (9.6%)	3 (4.1%)	4 (5.5%)	4.33
Overall Performance	82	55 (67.1%)	15 (18.3%)	5 (6.1%)	5 (6.1%)	2 (2.4%)	4.41

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 21

21 • GEORGE A. BEDSWORTH							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	229	150 (65.5%)	47 (20.5%)	14 (6.1%)	10 (4.4%)	8 (3.5%)	4.40
Legal Ability	228	120 (52.6%)	74 (32.5%)	15 (6.6%)	9 (3.9%)	10 (4.4%)	4.25
Professionalism	229	156 (68.1%)	49 (21.4%)	16 (7.0%)	2 (0.9%)	6 (2.6%)	4.52
Communication	226	128 (56.6%)	64 (28.3%)	24 (10.6%)	3 (1.3%)	7 (3.2%)	4.34
Administrative Skills	209	104 (49.8%)	71 (34.0%)	20 (9.6%)	8 (3.8%)	6 (2.8%)	4.24
Overall Performance	228	131 (57.5%)	61 (26.8%)	22 (9.6%)	7 (3.1%)	7 (3.0%)	4.32

21 • DENISE S. HARTSFIELD							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	301	94 (31.2%)	55 (18.3%)	42 (14.0%)	48 (15.9%)	62 (20.6%)	3.24
Legal Ability	295	104 (35.3%)	62 (21.0%)	69 (23.4%)	28 (9.5%)	32 (10.8%)	3.60
Professionalism	296	91 (30.7%)	57 (19.3%)	51 (17.2%)	41 (13.9%)	56 (18.9%)	3.29
Communication	289	130 (45.0%)	60 (20.8%)	46 (15.9%)	23 (8.0%)	30 (10.3%)	3.82
Administrative Skills	256	92 (35.9%)	49 (19.1%)	49 (19.1%)	30 (11.7%)	36 (14.2%)	3.51
Overall Performance	297	92 (31.0%)	69 (23.2%)	53 (17.8%)	37 (12.5%)	46 (15.5%)	3.42

21 • LISA V. MENEFFEE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	275	182 (66.2%)	66 (24.0%)	17 (6.2%)	4 (1.5%)	6 (2.1%)	4.51
Legal Ability	276	174 (63.0%)	75 (27.2%)	13 (4.7%)	6 (2.2%)	8 (2.9%)	4.45
Professionalism	276	187 (67.8%)	65 (23.6%)	12 (4.3%)	2 (0.7%)	10 (3.6%)	4.51
Communication	275	185 (67.3%)	62 (22.5%)	19 (6.9%)	2 (0.7%)	7 (2.6%)	4.51
Administrative Skills	257	176 (68.5%)	55 (21.4%)	13 (5.1%)	3 (1.2%)	10 (3.8%)	4.49
Overall Performance	277	179 (64.6%)	71 (25.6%)	13 (4.7%)	5 (1.8%)	9 (3.3%)	4.47

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 22A

22A • H. THOMAS CHURCH							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	104	59 (56.7%)	21 (20.2%)	16 (15.4%)	2 (1.9%)	6 (5.8%)	4.20
Legal Ability	101	49 (48.5%)	28 (27.7%)	16 (15.8%)	5 (5.0%)	3 (3.0%)	4.14
Professionalism	101	50 (49.5%)	20 (19.8%)	16 (15.8%)	8 (7.9%)	7 (7.0%)	3.97
Communication	100	48 (48.0%)	24 (24.0%)	18 (18.0%)	5 (5.0%)	5 (5.0%)	4.05
Administrative Skills	87	40 (46.0%)	20 (23.0%)	11 (12.6%)	9 (10.3%)	7 (8.1%)	3.89
Overall Performance	102	48 (47.1%)	25 (24.5%)	17 (16.7%)	8 (7.8%)	4 (3.9%)	4.03

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 22B

22B • MARY FOWLER COVINGTON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	152	53 (34.9%)	35 (23.0%)	31 (20.4%)	15 (9.9%)	18 (11.8%)	3.59
Legal Ability	152	48 (31.6%)	41 (27.0%)	36 (23.7%)	15 (9.9%)	12 (7.8%)	3.64
Professionalism	152	38 (25.0%)	32 (21.1%)	31 (20.4%)	27 (17.8%)	24 (15.7%)	3.22
Communication	152	48 (31.6%)	50 (32.9%)	25 (16.4%)	10 (6.6%)	19 (12.5%)	3.64
Administrative Skills	132	42 (31.8%)	42 (31.8%)	22 (16.7%)	11 (8.3%)	15 (11.4%)	3.64
Overall Performance	153	44 (28.8%)	41 (26.8%)	40 (26.1%)	12 (7.8%)	16 (10.5%)	3.56

22B • WAYNE L. MICHAEL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	148	101 (68.2%)	27 (18.2%)	8 (5.4%)	8 (5.4%)	4 (2.8%)	4.44
Legal Ability	150	95 (63.3%)	35 (23.3%)	13 (8.7%)	5 (3.3%)	2 (1.4%)	4.44
Professionalism	149	102 (68.5%)	30 (20.1%)	10 (6.7%)	6 (4.0%)	1 (0.7%)	4.52
Communication	150	95 (63.3%)	31 (20.7%)	18 (12.0%)	5 (3.3%)	1 (0.7%)	4.43
Administrative Skills	137	86 (62.8%)	29 (21.2%)	16 (11.7%)	4 (2.9%)	2 (1.4%)	4.41
Overall Performance	148	95 (64.2%)	34 (23.0%)	12 (8.1%)	5 (3.4%)	2 (1.3%)	4.45

22B • JIMMY LAIRD MYERS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	131	76 (58.0%)	25 (19.1%)	17 (13.0%)	9 (6.9%)	4 (3.0%)	4.22
Legal Ability	131	40 (30.5%)	32 (24.4%)	24 (18.3%)	22 (16.8%)	13 (10.0%)	3.49
Professionalism	131	71 (54.2%)	29 (22.1%)	20 (15.3%)	6 (4.6%)	5 (3.8%)	4.18
Communication	129	53 (41.1%)	34 (26.4%)	25 (19.4%)	12 (9.3%)	5 (3.8%)	3.91
Administrative Skills	113	40 (35.4%)	31 (27.4%)	26 (23.0%)	9 (8.0%)	7 (6.2%)	3.78
Overall Performance	131	54 (41.2%)	31 (23.7%)	27 (20.6%)	13 (9.9%)	6 (4.6%)	3.87

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 22B, CONTINUED

22B • ROD PENRY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	127	78 (61.4%)	38 (29.9%)	4 (3.1%)	4 (3.1%)	3 (2.5%)	4.45
Legal Ability	128	65 (50.8%)	40 (31.3%)	16 (12.5%)	3 (2.3%)	4 (3.1%)	4.24
Professionalism	127	80 (63.0%)	33 (26.0%)	9 (7.1%)	3 (2.4%)	2 (1.5%)	4.46
Communication	127	77 (60.6%)	35 (27.6%)	9 (7.1%)	4 (3.1%)	2 (1.6%)	4.43
Administrative Skills	115	67 (58.3%)	38 (33.0%)	8 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.7%)	4.46
Overall Performance	127	75 (59.1%)	38 (29.9%)	7 (5.5%)	5 (3.9%)	2 (1.6%)	4.41

22B • CARLTON TERRY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	131	61 (46.6%)	29 (22.1%)	19 (14.5%)	14 (10.7%)	8 (6.1%)	3.92
Legal Ability	132	58 (43.9%)	44 (33.3%)	20 (15.2%)	7 (5.3%)	3 (2.3%)	4.11
Professionalism	133	61 (45.9%)	32 (24.1%)	22 (16.5%)	12 (9.0%)	6 (4.5%)	3.98
Communication	133	64 (48.1%)	30 (22.6%)	25 (18.8%)	9 (6.8%)	5 (3.7%)	4.05
Administrative Skills	116	46 (39.7%)	37 (31.9%)	22 (19.0%)	7 (6.0%)	4 (3.4%)	3.98
Overall Performance	133	52 (39.1%)	43 (32.3%)	24 (18.0%)	11 (8.3%)	3 (2.3%)	3.98

22B • APRIL C. WOOD							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	130	45 (34.6%)	26 (20.0%)	26 (20.0%)	21 (16.2%)	12 (9.2%)	3.55
Legal Ability	130	40 (30.8%)	35 (26.9%)	24 (18.5%)	19 (14.6%)	12 (9.2%)	3.55
Professionalism	130	41 (31.5%)	26 (20.0%)	25 (19.2%)	23 (17.7%)	15 (11.6%)	3.42
Communication	127	48 (37.8%)	30 (23.6%)	22 (17.3%)	14 (11.0%)	13 (10.3%)	3.68
Administrative Skills	110	37 (33.6%)	22 (20.0%)	13 (11.8%)	22 (20.0%)	16 (14.6%)	3.38
Overall Performance	130	40 (30.8%)	30 (23.1%)	28 (21.5%)	20 (15.4%)	12 (9.2%)	3.51

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | **DISTRICT 23**

23 • DAVID V. BYRD							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	63	24 (38.1%)	22 (34.9%)	11 (17.5%)	5 (7.9%)	1 (1.6%)	4.00
Legal Ability	62	25 (40.3%)	22 (35.5%)	9 (14.5%)	5 (8.1%)	1 (1.6%)	4.05
Professionalism	62	21 (33.9%)	24 (38.7%)	10 (16.1%)	5 (8.1%)	2 (3.2%)	3.92
Communication	61	21 (34.4%)	21 (34.4%)	11 (18.0%)	6 (9.8%)	2 (3.4%)	3.87
Administrative Skills	54	16 (29.6%)	16 (29.6%)	12 (22.2%)	7 (13.0%)	3 (5.6%)	3.65
Overall Performance	63	20 (31.7%)	25 (39.7%)	12 (19.0%)	5 (7.9%)	1 (1.7%)	3.92

23 • MICHAEL D. DUNCAN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	70	53 (75.7%)	15 (21.4%)	2 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.73
Legal Ability	70	48 (68.6%)	17 (24.3%)	5 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.61
Professionalism	71	56 (78.9%)	13 (18.3%)	2 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.76
Communication	71	54 (76.1%)	15 (21.1%)	2 (2.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.73
Administrative Skills	66	48 (72.7%)	13 (19.7%)	5 (7.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.65
Overall Performance	70	54 (77.1%)	14 (20.0%)	2 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.74

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | **DISTRICT 24**

24 • WARREN HUGHES							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	53	39 (73.6%)	6 (11.3%)	3 (5.7%)	4 (7.5%)	1 (1.9%)	4.47
Legal Ability	53	29 (54.7%)	16 (30.2%)	4 (7.5%)	3 (5.7%)	1 (1.9%)	4.30
Professionalism	53	38 (71.7%)	12 (22.6%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.9%)	4.60
Communication	53	36 (67.9%)	14 (26.4%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.9%)	1 (1.9%)	4.57
Administrative Skills	49	33 (67.3%)	13 (26.5%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.1%)	1 (2.1%)	4.53
Overall Performance	51	34 (66.7%)	10 (19.6%)	3 (5.9%)	3 (5.9%)	1 (1.9%)	4.43

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 25

25 • BOB BRADY							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	84	46 (54.8%)	28 (33.3%)	7 (8.3%)	3 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)	4.39
Legal Ability	84	41 (48.8%)	29 (34.5%)	12 (14.3%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.30
Professionalism	84	50 (59.5%)	24 (28.6%)	8 (9.5%)	2 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.45
Communication	84	40 (47.6%)	30 (35.7%)	7 (8.3%)	6 (7.1%)	1 (1.3%)	4.21
Administrative Skills	79	39 (49.4%)	20 (25.3%)	12 (15.2%)	6 (7.6%)	2 (2.5%)	4.11
Overall Performance	85	39 (45.9%)	32 (37.6%)	10 (11.8%)	4 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	4.25

25 • SHERRI WILSON ELLIOTT							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	87	49 (56.3%)	21 (24.1%)	10 (11.5%)	5 (5.7%)	2 (2.4%)	4.26
Legal Ability	87	43 (49.4%)	26 (29.9%)	11 (12.6%)	5 (5.7%)	2 (2.4%)	4.18
Professionalism	88	41 (46.6%)	34 (38.6%)	7 (8.0%)	4 (4.5%)	2 (2.3%)	4.23
Communication	86	46 (53.5%)	24 (27.9%)	10 (11.6%)	4 (4.7%)	2 (2.3%)	4.26
Administrative Skills	81	43 (53.1%)	24 (29.6%)	8 (9.9%)	3 (3.7%)	3 (3.7%)	4.25
Overall Performance	89	46 (51.7%)	28 (31.5%)	9 (10.1%)	4 (4.5%)	2 (2.2%)	4.26

25 • GREGORY R. (GREG) HAYES							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	111	35 (31.5%)	29 (26.1%)	24 (21.6%)	14 (12.6%)	9 (8.2%)	3.60
Legal Ability	108	36 (33.3%)	31 (28.7%)	29 (26.9%)	7 (6.5%)	5 (4.6%)	3.80
Professionalism	110	36 (32.7%)	26 (23.6%)	26 (23.6%)	13 (11.8%)	9 (8.3%)	3.61
Communication	109	44 (40.4%)	33 (30.3%)	23 (21.1%)	6 (5.5%)	3 (2.7%)	4.00
Administrative Skills	97	31 (32.0%)	30 (30.9%)	25 (25.8%)	7 (7.2%)	4 (4.1%)	3.79
Overall Performance	110	37 (33.6%)	25 (22.7%)	31 (28.2%)	13 (11.8%)	4 (3.7%)	3.71

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 25, CONTINUED

25 • MARK KILLIAN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	88	68 (77.3%)	9 (10.2%)	6 (6.8%)	3 (3.4%)	2 (2.3%)	4.57
Legal Ability	88	55 (62.5%)	20 (22.7%)	8 (9.1%)	3 (3.4%)	2 (2.3%)	4.40
Professionalism	87	65 (74.7%)	14 (16.1%)	5 (5.7%)	2 (2.3%)	1 (1.2%)	4.61
Communication	85	53 (62.4%)	24 (28.2%)	6 (7.1%)	1 (1.2%)	1 (1.1%)	4.49
Administrative Skills	77	42 (54.5%)	24 (31.2%)	9 (11.7%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.3%)	4.36
Overall Performance	87	58 (66.7%)	20 (23.0%)	4 (4.6%)	3 (3.4%)	2 (2.3%)	4.48

25 • ROBERT A. MULLINAX JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	101	68 (67.3%)	17 (16.8%)	9 (8.9%)	7 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.45
Legal Ability	100	57 (57.0%)	28 (28.0%)	9 (9.0%)	6 (6.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.36
Professionalism	100	62 (62.0%)	26 (26.0%)	6 (6.0%)	6 (6.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.44
Communication	100	61 (61.0%)	27 (27.0%)	7 (7.0%)	5 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4.44
Administrative Skills	91	49 (53.8%)	32 (35.2%)	6 (6.6%)	4 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.38
Overall Performance	101	63 (62.4%)	24 (23.8%)	9 (8.9%)	5 (4.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.44

25 • AMY SIGMON WALKER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	103	51 (49.5%)	25 (24.3%)	18 (17.5%)	6 (5.8%)	3 (2.9%)	4.12
Legal Ability	102	42 (41.2%)	29 (28.4%)	20 (19.6%)	7 (6.9%)	4 (3.9%)	3.96
Professionalism	102	39 (38.2%)	36 (35.3%)	16 (15.7%)	8 (7.8%)	3 (3.0%)	3.98
Communication	102	42 (41.2%)	39 (38.2%)	12 (11.8%)	5 (4.9%)	4 (3.9%)	4.08
Administrative Skills	90	35 (38.9%)	27 (30.0%)	21 (23.3%)	2 (2.2%)	5 (5.6%)	3.94
Overall Performance	101	38 (37.6%)	33 (32.7%)	19 (18.8%)	8 (7.9%)	3 (3.0%)	3.94

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 26

26 • DONALD CURETON JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	203	142 (70.0%)	45 (22.2%)	11 (5.4%)	3 (1.5%)	2 (0.9%)	4.59
Legal Ability	203	109 (53.7%)	68 (33.5%)	18 (8.9%)	5 (2.5%)	3 (1.4%)	4.35
Professionalism	205	151 (73.7%)	40 (19.5%)	9 (4.4%)	3 (1.5%)	2 (0.9%)	4.63
Communication	200	123 (61.5%)	60 (30.0%)	11 (5.5%)	3 (1.5%)	3 (1.5%)	4.49
Administrative Skills	184	108 (58.7%)	50 (27.2%)	18 (9.8%)	5 (2.7%)	3 (1.6%)	4.39
Overall Performance	203	130 (64.0%)	56 (27.6%)	11 (5.4%)	4 (2.0%)	2 (1.0%)	4.52

26 • RICKYE McKOY-MITCHELL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	282	183 (64.9%)	52 (18.4%)	35 (12.4%)	7 (2.5%)	5 (1.8%)	4.42
Legal Ability	281	139 (49.5%)	65 (23.1%)	46 (16.4%)	18 (6.4%)	13 (4.6%)	4.06
Professionalism	286	182 (63.6%)	65 (22.7%)	30 (10.5%)	5 (1.7%)	4 (1.5%)	4.45
Communication	280	160 (57.1%)	61 (21.8%)	47 (16.8%)	7 (2.5%)	5 (1.8%)	4.30
Administrative Skills	250	129 (51.6%)	62 (24.8%)	35 (14.0%)	16 (6.4%)	8 (3.2%)	4.15
Overall Performance	282	155 (55.0%)	63 (22.3%)	46 (16.3%)	14 (5.0%)	4 (1.4%)	4.24

26 • PAIGE B. McTHENIA							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	221	157 (71.0%)	30 (13.6%)	21 (9.5%)	2 (0.9%)	11 (5.0%)	4.45
Legal Ability	220	144 (65.5%)	40 (18.2%)	23 (10.5%)	4 (1.8%)	9 (4.0%)	4.39
Professionalism	222	157 (70.7%)	41 (18.5%)	15 (6.8%)	0 (0.0%)	9 (4.0%)	4.52
Communication	221	146 (66.1%)	46 (20.8%)	20 (9.0%)	1 (0.5%)	8 (3.6%)	4.45
Administrative Skills	201	127 (63.2%)	45 (22.4%)	18 (9.0%)	1 (0.5%)	10 (4.9%)	4.38
Overall Performance	220	146 (66.4%)	44 (20.0%)	21 (9.5%)	1 (0.5%)	8 (3.6%)	4.45

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 26, CONTINUED

26 • REGAN A. MILLER							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	305	192 (63.0%)	65 (21.3%)	26 (8.5%)	15 (4.9%)	7 (2.3%)	4.38
Legal Ability	303	158 (52.1%)	80 (26.4%)	27 (8.9%)	24 (7.9%)	14 (4.7%)	4.14
Professionalism	303	191 (63.0%)	67 (22.1%)	24 (7.9%)	12 (4.0%)	9 (3.0%)	4.38
Communication	301	170 (56.5%)	77 (25.6%)	33 (11.0%)	9 (3.0%)	12 (3.9%)	4.28
Administrative Skills	276	161 (58.3%)	56 (20.3%)	33 (12.0%)	12 (4.3%)	14 (5.1%)	4.22
Overall Performance	304	170 (55.9%)	81 (26.6%)	25 (8.2%)	19 (6.3%)	9 (3.0%)	4.26

26 • THEO NIXON							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	280	134 (47.9%)	57 (20.4%)	34 (12.1%)	29 (10.4%)	26 (9.2%)	3.87
Legal Ability	282	143 (50.7%)	70 (24.8%)	28 (9.9%)	18 (6.4%)	23 (8.2%)	4.04
Professionalism	281	122 (43.4%)	62 (22.1%)	43 (15.3%)	28 (10.0%)	26 (9.2%)	3.80
Communication	277	124 (44.8%)	69 (24.9%)	40 (14.4%)	19 (6.9%)	25 (9.0%)	3.90
Administrative Skills	254	117 (46.1%)	73 (28.7%)	24 (9.4%)	18 (7.1%)	22 (8.7%)	3.96
Overall Performance	277	118 (42.6%)	75 (27.1%)	36 (13.0%)	21 (7.6%)	27 (9.7%)	3.85

26 • MATT OSMAN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	233	122 (52.4%)	53 (22.7%)	27 (11.6%)	12 (5.2%)	19 (8.1%)	4.06
Legal Ability	230	118 (51.3%)	58 (25.2%)	34 (14.8%)	4 (1.7%)	16 (7.0%)	4.12
Professionalism	233	116 (49.8%)	38 (16.3%)	41 (17.6%)	12 (5.2%)	26 (11.1%)	3.88
Communication	230	107 (46.5%)	56 (24.3%)	33 (14.3%)	10 (4.3%)	24 (10.6%)	3.92
Administrative Skills	212	108 (50.9%)	54 (25.5%)	29 (13.7%)	5 (2.4%)	16 (7.5%)	4.10
Overall Performance	228	114 (50.0%)	49 (21.5%)	34 (14.9%)	12 (5.3%)	19 (8.3%)	4.00

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | **DISTRICT 26, CONTINUED**

26 • SEAN SMITH							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	226	94 (41.6%)	56 (24.8%)	41 (18.1%)	16 (7.1%)	19 (8.4%)	3.84
Legal Ability	221	96 (43.4%)	62 (28.1%)	33 (14.9%)	15 (6.8%)	15 (6.8%)	3.95
Professionalism	226	88 (38.9%)	52 (23.0%)	39 (17.3%)	22 (9.7%)	25 (11.1%)	3.69
Communication	223	80 (35.9%)	65 (29.1%)	40 (17.9%)	16 (7.2%)	22 (9.9%)	3.74
Administrative Skills	200	85 (42.5%)	57 (28.5%)	29 (14.5%)	11 (5.5%)	18 (9.0%)	3.90
Overall Performance	219	77 (35.2%)	71 (32.4%)	36 (16.4%)	14 (6.4%)	21 (9.6%)	3.77

26 • BECKY THORNE TIN							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	278	172 (61.9%)	56 (20.1%)	31 (11.2%)	4 (1.4%)	15 (5.4%)	4.32
Legal Ability	278	174 (62.6%)	69 (24.8%)	17 (6.1%)	11 (4.0%)	7 (2.5%)	4.41
Professionalism	278	169 (60.8%)	65 (23.4%)	24 (8.6%)	11 (4.0%)	9 (3.2%)	4.35
Communication	275	166 (60.4%)	73 (26.5%)	22 (8.0%)	6 (2.2%)	8 (2.9%)	4.39
Administrative Skills	250	152 (60.8%)	62 (24.8%)	20 (8.0%)	8 (3.2%)	8 (3.2%)	4.37
Overall Performance	277	166 (59.9%)	66 (23.8%)	28 (10.1%)	9 (3.2%)	8 (3.0%)	4.35

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 27A

27A • RALPH C. GINGLES JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	117	79 (67.5%)	16 (13.7%)	13 (11.1%)	5 (4.3%)	4 (3.4%)	4.38
Legal Ability	116	64 (55.2%)	28 (24.1%)	18 (15.5%)	3 (2.6%)	3 (2.6%)	4.27
Professionalism	118	81 (68.6%)	20 (16.9%)	11 (9.3%)	3 (2.5%)	3 (2.7%)	4.47
Communication	116	69 (59.5%)	27 (23.3%)	15 (12.9%)	3 (2.6%)	2 (1.7%)	4.36
Administrative Skills	108	63 (58.3%)	25 (23.1%)	14 (13.0%)	4 (3.7%)	2 (1.9%)	4.32
Overall Performance	115	72 (62.6%)	24 (20.9%)	12 (10.4%)	4 (3.5%)	3 (2.6%)	4.37

27A • MICHAEL K. LANDS							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	90	29 (32.2%)	22 (24.4%)	14 (15.6%)	8 (8.9%)	17 (18.9%)	3.42
Legal Ability	91	23 (25.3%)	28 (30.8%)	17 (18.7%)	10 (11.0%)	13 (14.2%)	3.42
Professionalism	90	26 (28.9%)	18 (20.0%)	14 (15.6%)	13 (14.4%)	19 (21.1%)	3.21
Communication	90	20 (22.2%)	24 (26.7%)	17 (18.9%)	11 (12.2%)	18 (20.0%)	3.19
Administrative Skills	76	22 (28.9%)	18 (23.7%)	15 (19.7%)	8 (10.5%)	13 (17.2%)	3.37
Overall Performance	91	25 (27.5%)	19 (20.9%)	17 (18.7%)	14 (15.4%)	16 (17.5%)	3.25

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 27B

27B • ALI PAKSOY JR.							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	81	64 (79.0%)	12 (14.8%)	2 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (3.7%)	4.65
Legal Ability	81	47 (58.0%)	25 (30.9%)	6 (7.4%)	1 (1.2%)	2 (2.5%)	4.41
Professionalism	81	60 (74.1%)	16 (19.8%)	2 (2.5%)	1 (1.2%)	2 (2.4%)	4.62
Communication	81	53 (65.4%)	22 (27.2%)	4 (4.9%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.5%)	4.53
Administrative Skills	75	49 (65.3%)	22 (29.3%)	1 (1.3%)	1 (1.3%)	2 (2.8%)	4.53
Overall Performance	81	54 (66.7%)	22 (27.2%)	1 (1.2%)	2 (2.5%)	2 (2.4%)	4.53

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 28

28 • EDWIN D. CLONTZ							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	107	47 (43.9%)	24 (22.4%)	14 (13.1%)	7 (6.5%)	15 (14.1%)	3.76
Legal Ability	104	31 (29.8%)	22 (21.2%)	18 (17.3%)	17 (16.3%)	16 (15.4%)	3.34
Professionalism	106	41 (38.7%)	29 (27.4%)	13 (12.3%)	9 (8.5%)	14 (13.1%)	3.70
Communication	106	29 (27.4%)	24 (22.6%)	17 (16.0%)	17 (16.0%)	19 (18.0%)	3.25
Administrative Skills	92	28 (30.4%)	21 (22.8%)	20 (21.7%)	8 (8.7%)	15 (16.4%)	3.42
Overall Performance	104	31 (29.8%)	25 (24.0%)	18 (17.3%)	13 (12.5%)	17 (16.4%)	3.38

28 • SUSAN M. DOTSON-SMITH							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	129	70 (54.3%)	30 (23.3%)	18 (14.0%)	2 (1.6%)	9 (6.8%)	4.16
Legal Ability	126	61 (48.4%)	26 (20.6%)	16 (12.7%)	10 (7.9%)	13 (10.4%)	3.89
Professionalism	129	73 (56.6%)	19 (14.7%)	20 (15.5%)	7 (5.4%)	10 (7.8%)	4.07
Communication	125	61 (48.8%)	30 (24.0%)	17 (13.6%)	5 (4.0%)	12 (9.6%)	3.98
Administrative Skills	114	57 (50.0%)	20 (17.5%)	20 (17.5%)	7 (6.1%)	10 (8.9%)	3.94
Overall Performance	124	62 (50.0%)	25 (20.2%)	22 (17.7%)	5 (4.0%)	10 (8.1%)	4.00

28 • JULIE M. KEPPLÉ							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	107	80 (74.8%)	20 (18.7%)	4 (3.7%)	2 (1.9%)	1 (0.9%)	4.64
Legal Ability	108	73 (67.6%)	23 (21.3%)	10 (9.3%)	1 (0.9%)	1 (0.9%)	4.54
Professionalism	108	87 (80.6%)	15 (13.9%)	4 (3.7%)	2 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)	4.73
Communication	106	87 (82.1%)	14 (13.2%)	3 (2.8%)	2 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.75
Administrative Skills	93	65 (69.9%)	21 (22.6%)	5 (5.4%)	2 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4.60
Overall Performance	105	83 (79.0%)	15 (14.3%)	4 (3.8%)	3 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	4.70

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | **DISTRICT 28, CONTINUED**

28 • WARD D. SCOTT							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	109	80 (73.4%)	21 (19.3%)	3 (2.8%)	1 (0.9%)	4 (3.6%)	4.58
Legal Ability	108	83 (76.9%)	18 (16.7%)	3 (2.8%)	1 (0.9%)	3 (2.7%)	4.64
Professionalism	108	77 (71.3%)	21 (19.4%)	4 (3.7%)	1 (0.9%)	5 (4.7%)	4.52
Communication	108	79 (73.1%)	18 (16.7%)	4 (3.7%)	1 (0.9%)	6 (5.6%)	4.51
Administrative Skills	95	69 (72.6%)	17 (17.9%)	4 (4.2%)	1 (1.1%)	4 (4.2%)	4.54
Overall Performance	105	77 (73.3%)	19 (18.1%)	3 (2.9%)	1 (1.0%)	5 (4.7%)	4.54

28 • PATRICIA KAUFMANN YOUNG							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	124	51 (41.1%)	30 (24.2%)	20 (16.1%)	12 (9.7%)	11 (8.9%)	3.79
Legal Ability	122	47 (38.5%)	41 (33.6%)	20 (16.4%)	7 (5.7%)	7 (5.8%)	3.93
Professionalism	124	43 (34.7%)	30 (24.2%)	22 (17.7%)	14 (11.3%)	15 (12.1%)	3.58
Communication	122	41 (33.6%)	33 (27.0%)	27 (22.1%)	5 (4.1%)	16 (13.2%)	3.64
Administrative Skills	106	38 (35.8%)	29 (27.4%)	17 (16.0%)	11 (10.4%)	11 (10.4%)	3.68
Overall Performance	119	38 (31.9%)	37 (31.1%)	22 (18.5%)	12 (10.1%)	10 (8.4%)	3.68

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 29A

29A • ROBERT K. MARTELLE							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	40	23 (57.5%)	8 (20.0%)	6 (15.0%)	1 (2.5%)	2 (5.0%)	4.23
Legal Ability	41	22 (53.7%)	9 (22.0%)	7 (17.1%)	2 (4.9%)	1 (2.3%)	4.20
Professionalism	41	23 (56.1%)	8 (19.5%)	6 (14.6%)	2 (4.9%)	2 (4.9%)	4.17
Communication	41	23 (56.1%)	8 (19.5%)	8 (19.5%)	1 (2.4%)	1 (2.5%)	4.24
Administrative Skills	37	19 (51.4%)	7 (18.9%)	7 (18.9%)	1 (2.7%)	3 (8.1%)	4.03
Overall Performance	41	20 (48.8%)	10 (24.4%)	8 (19.5%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (7.3%)	4.07

29A • C. RANDY POOL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	75	42 (56.0%)	11 (14.7%)	13 (17.3%)	7 (9.3%)	2 (2.7%)	4.12
Legal Ability	74	37 (50.0%)	20 (27.0%)	10 (13.5%)	4 (5.4%)	3 (4.1%)	4.14
Professionalism	76	42 (55.3%)	14 (18.4%)	9 (11.8%)	7 (9.2%)	4 (5.3%)	4.09
Communication	74	35 (47.3%)	21 (28.4%)	10 (13.5%)	5 (6.8%)	3 (4.0%)	4.08
Administrative Skills	68	32 (47.1%)	18 (26.5%)	9 (13.2%)	5 (7.4%)	4 (5.8%)	4.01
Overall Performance	74	35 (47.3%)	21 (28.4%)	11 (14.9%)	5 (6.8%)	2 (2.6%)	4.11

29A • LAURA ANNE POWELL							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	60	45 (75.0%)	10 (16.7%)	2 (3.3%)	2 (3.3%)	1 (1.7%)	4.60
Legal Ability	59	41 (69.5%)	12 (20.3%)	4 (6.8%)	2 (3.4%)	0 (0.0%)	4.56
Professionalism	60	44 (73.3%)	10 (16.7%)	2 (3.3%)	2 (3.3%)	2 (3.4%)	4.53
Communication	59	39 (66.1%)	15 (25.4%)	2 (3.4%)	3 (5.1%)	0 (0.0%)	4.53
Administrative Skills	57	38 (66.7%)	13 (22.8%)	2 (3.5%)	2 (3.5%)	2 (3.5%)	4.46
Overall Performance	57	38 (66.7%)	13 (22.8%)	2 (3.5%)	3 (5.3%)	1 (1.7%)	4.47

DISTRICT COURT JUDGES | DISTRICT 30

30 • KRISTINA L. EARWOOD							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	81	45 (55.6%)	20 (24.7%)	11 (13.6%)	1 (1.2%)	4 (4.9%)	4.25
Legal Ability	80	32 (40.0%)	25 (31.3%)	16 (20.0%)	2 (2.5%)	5 (6.2%)	3.96
Professionalism	80	37 (46.3%)	20 (25.0%)	12 (15.0%)	4 (5.0%)	7 (8.7%)	3.95
Communication	80	43 (53.8%)	19 (23.8%)	11 (13.8%)	3 (3.8%)	4 (4.8%)	4.18
Administrative Skills	72	35 (48.6%)	22 (30.6%)	9 (12.5%)	3 (4.2%)	3 (4.1%)	4.15
Overall Performance	81	36 (44.4%)	26 (32.1%)	12 (14.8%)	4 (4.9%)	3 (3.8%)	4.09

30 • DONNA FORGA							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	92	56 (60.9%)	21 (22.8%)	8 (8.7%)	1 (1.1%)	6 (6.5%)	4.30
Legal Ability	90	39 (43.3%)	29 (32.2%)	13 (14.4%)	2 (2.2%)	7 (7.9%)	4.01
Professionalism	91	54 (59.3%)	17 (18.7%)	9 (9.9%)	3 (3.3%)	8 (8.8%)	4.16
Communication	90	49 (54.4%)	22 (24.4%)	12 (13.3%)	1 (1.1%)	6 (6.8%)	4.19
Administrative Skills	82	39 (47.6%)	26 (31.7%)	11 (13.4%)	1 (1.2%)	5 (6.1%)	4.13
Overall Performance	91	43 (47.3%)	28 (30.8%)	12 (13.2%)	2 (2.2%)	6 (6.5%)	4.10

30 • ROY WIJEWICKRAMA							
QUALITY	NUMBER OF RESPONSES	EXCELLENT (5)	GOOD (4)	AVERAGE (3)	BELOW AVERAGE (2)	POOR (1)	AVERAGE RATING
Integrity & Impartiality	97	57 (58.8%)	25 (25.8%)	8 (8.2%)	4 (4.1%)	3 (3.1%)	4.33
Legal Ability	96	47 (49.0%)	30 (31.3%)	14 (14.6%)	4 (4.2%)	1 (0.9%)	4.23
Professionalism	96	62 (64.6%)	19 (19.8%)	11 (11.5%)	2 (2.1%)	2 (2.0%)	4.43
Communication	96	58 (60.4%)	26 (27.1%)	10 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.1%)	4.44
Administrative Skills	87	48 (55.2%)	22 (25.3%)	15 (17.2%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (2.3%)	4.31
Overall Performance	96	56 (58.3%)	22 (22.9%)	12 (12.5%)	5 (5.2%)	1 (1.1%)	4.32