

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

Q2 If you are in favor of a UBE for NC, please tell us briefly why.

Answered: 151 Skipped: 167

#	Responses	Date
1	I believe that testing should be consistent so that a law student can graduate from any college and pass the bar for any state.	1/2/2017 10:59 PM
2	Why make it difficult for attorneys to move and practice in other areas?	12/27/2016 10:59 AM
3	Because almost half of states are adopting it and soon all will. It is time NC catches up.	12/25/2016 1:18 PM
4	The uniformity of the testing will make studying easier for individuals looking to be licensed in multiple states. The ability to transfer to other states after being liscened in NC is attractive.	12/25/2016 12:47 PM
5	Yes! The future of legal practice is going to transcend state boundaries, and the UBE encapsulates that mentality.	12/25/2016 11:07 AM
6	I think it should be easier for me to move to another state that accepts UBE too if my wife relocates for work. I'm too recently licensed to waive in without examination.	12/23/2016 3:58 PM
7	Convenience, ease, costs.	12/22/2016 3:15 PM
8	It would be a benefit to have the ability to be able to practice in other states that also accept the UBE upon passing the UBE for NC.	12/22/2016 11:18 AM
9	Current exam places too much emphasis on NC-specific law. If the candidate intends to practice outside NC this is ultimately not helpful to their professional development or preparation. If the candidate attended law school outside NC but plans to practice in NC, they are at a disadvantage relative to candidates who attended an in-state school and were taught various aspects of NC-specific law along the way. There's no good reason I can think of why a qualified out-of-state candidate should be faced with a greater burden in learning a new jurisdiction during the limited period of Bar preparation than one who matriculated in NC.	12/22/2016 10:25 AM
10	Hopefully this could lead to licensure in all 50 states	12/21/2016 3:56 PM
11	This would provide great opportunities for NC attorneys especially considering the influx of law schools in the state in comparison to the lack of legal jobs.	12/21/2016 2:31 PM
12	We live in the UNITED states where all people should be free to move around as they please. The thought of having to pass another states' bar exam has a detrimental impact on so many relationships/families.	12/21/2016 12:29 PM
13	Bar admission is far too parochial, for no good reason.	12/21/2016 11:46 AM
14	We live in a mobile society and dual income families are now the norm rather than the exception. By implementing the UBE, licensed attorneys will now have broader career opportunities if and when they need it and will also assist in reducing the "glut" of attorneys with nowhere to go to practice without having to take another bar exam.	12/21/2016 11:33 AM
15	It reduces the amount of 'cross' studying you have to do (i.e. remembering common law tort law for the MBE and then NC tort law for the essay portion).	12/21/2016 11:28 AM
16	Portability. As the world gets smaller with people more mobile, it's important that skills are transferable across jurisdictions with a minimum of difficulty.	12/21/2016 11:23 AM
17	The multistate part of the bar exam has worked fairly well. The questions may be more uniform from year to year.	12/21/2016 11:10 AM
18	More flexibility to practice in other states. Plus, NC is not that unique in terms of its state laws.	12/21/2016 10:50 AM
19	It will bring increased uniformity to law school teaching. While I attended an out of State Law School in the late 1960's, we had sufficient general principle instruction I was able to pass the N.C. Bar on my first attempt. I did have the benefit of Robin Hinson's extraordinary pre-exam class. I doubt the pre-exam help would have been enough if I had not had the core principle teaching in Law School I attended.	12/21/2016 9:31 AM
20	Don't know much about it but it seems like it enables the bar exam score to pass to other states so that someone changing jurisdictions does not have to take a new bar examination. I believe it should be easier for attorneys to practice in other states particularly with how law is practiced now. There is also no reason why MBE scores, for example, shouldn't transfer over. Sounds like a good idea.	12/21/2016 9:30 AM
21	We live in a very mobile society.	12/21/2016 8:50 AM

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

22	It eliminates the appearance of corruption, i.e. the Board cannot stack the deck in favor of their alma mater by manipulating what weight goes to which questions. You will recall in the 1985 Bar Exam the Board raised the score an extra 3 points and changed the weight afforded to multiple choice vs. essay type questions. As certain schools have students who do better in one vs. the other these changes would have a predictable effect on those schools, resulting in some schools having a higher passing percentage, others having a lower pass percentage, etc. Corruption.	12/21/2016 8:46 AM
23	We have moved past a time where it makes sense to keep individual state bars.	12/21/2016 6:59 AM
24	Uniformity and fairness	12/21/2016 1:13 AM
25	It makes sense, keeps us competitive with other states who are adopting it	12/21/2016 12:32 AM
26	I moved to N.C. two years after being admitted to practice in another state and had to take a second bar exam to be licensed here. A common exam would save time and money.	12/21/2016 12:06 AM
27	Increased portability for law degrees	12/20/2016 9:45 PM
28	It allows for more portability for today's mobile millennials. It permits a psychometric based model to replace a more subjective model. And, let's not forget, in NC, we have previously changed our method from oral questioning by the Supreme Court.	12/20/2016 9:26 PM
29	I think it is an idea whose time has come, evidenced by the growing number of states that have adopted and especially the adoption by NY this year. I also think that young lawyers, especially, are hampered by the inability to move to another state to practice without sitting for another ar. In a tight jo market, that is a big barrier.	12/20/2016 9:01 PM
30	Mobility in the profession across state lines will create competition which will lead to better client representation.	12/20/2016 8:17 PM
31	We need more uniformity in all legal areas in this country.	12/20/2016 8:15 PM
32	The UBE allows North Carolina to use a well-constructed, professionally validated exam that allows candidate scores to be compared with bar candidates nationally. And it makes provides NC lawyers entree to practice in other states. NC can easily provide mandatory training in NC differences as part of the first year practice requirements.	12/20/2016 7:52 PM
33	consistency across the nation; portability of licensure	12/20/2016 7:41 PM
34	The world is so mobile now that it doesn't make sense for so many barriers to practice across jurisdiction.	12/20/2016 7:34 PM
35	It just makes sense. The practice of law is changing just like everything else and it is important that the bar exam reflect that.	12/20/2016 7:22 PM
36	Portability to other states	12/20/2016 6:57 PM
37	Being "stuck" in one state to practice can make it very difficult to fully pursue a meaningful personal life. It may be great for professional practice, but it makes it very difficult for families who may need to relocate for other jobs or other opportunities.	12/20/2016 6:05 PM
38	I think it would make legal practice more efficient for the overall network.	12/20/2016 6:00 PM
39	Could create greater mobility in seeking alternative employment. Put more people on a level playing field	12/20/2016 5:54 PM
40	Law students at top law schools learn general or uniform laws, and are not "taught the bar exam." Switching to the UBE will allow the UNC School of Law to achieve higher standards (I'm not a UNC grad).	12/20/2016 5:43 PM
41	This would make the NC bar exam less arbitrary.	12/20/2016 5:41 PM
42	It would be nice if all attorneys in ALL states passed the same bar exam to show that all are equally qualified to practice.	12/20/2016 5:41 PM
43	Insufficient information. See No. 3 below.	12/20/2016 5:30 PM
44	As a young, newly practicing lawyer, I am still reeling from the inane number of hurdles, roadblocks and obstacles that stood between me and a profession that should be open to all- that of understanding and practicing the laws of our country. Preventing malpractice is important, but in 2016, state specific knowledge has nothing to do with an individual's fitness to practice in that state, and everything to do with an individual's access to time and money. I feel confident that the North Carolina specific items I learned for the bar will be of absolutely zero use to me in my career, and if they were, I would re-learn them with more care and attention at the appropriate time regardless.	12/20/2016 5:26 PM
45	We are too mobile to have difficulties with licensure state to state.	12/20/2016 5:17 PM
46	Seems like it helps standardize the licensing process for lawyers and develops a shared understanding of some legal issues. It also seems like it will assist the Board of Law Examiners in the testing and grading process which should be a big help to them given the increasing number of Bar applicants.	12/20/2016 5:15 PM
47	It should make it easier to be admitted in more than one state. In today's legal marketplace, few lawyers can expect to spend their whole career with one employer. This should facilitate mobility.	12/20/2016 5:09 PM

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

48	The potential for a more portable law practice. My husband routinely turns down promotions simply because my career isn't easily portable.	12/20/2016 5:08 PM
49	Professionally developed questions, grading transparency, and score portability make adoption of the UBE a more favorable alternative to the current DIY model.	12/20/2016 4:57 PM
50	Makes a lot more sense in this day and age, other professions moving in that direction.	12/20/2016 4:56 PM
51	Provides more opportunities for lawyers. Avoids taking multiple bar exams.	12/20/2016 4:55 PM
52	By not adopting the UBE, I believe that NC continues to harm both North Carolina attorneys and attorneys moving to North Carolina. It is a drain on firms recruiting out of state attorneys from UBE jurisdictions. Additionally, new NC attorneys are denied the reasonable opportunity to move to a state without taking ANOTHER bar exam (which is a phenomenally difficult task, as we all know). I believe the biggest reason to extend the UBE in NC (and to all states) is to allow lawyers the freedom to practice their trade in the state of their choosing with a minimal number of obstacles.	12/20/2016 4:52 PM
53	It'll be easier for attorneys to obtain employment in other states if they move. Everyone learns basically the same legal principals in law school, and really being a lawyer is about practice experience, not a test.	12/20/2016 4:51 PM
54	Think its good to have a national standard.	12/20/2016 4:50 PM
55	Yes	12/20/2016 4:48 PM
56	I am not at all informed about this, but would very much like the ability to easily join bars of other states as relocations due to new jobs (or one spouse following the other for a relocation) occur frequently.	12/20/2016 4:46 PM
57	I assume the UBE is going to be distinct from the Multi-state which was another reasonably good idea that was implemented questionably. If the UBE is going to be well-designed to measure competence and implemented to facilitate comity, I think it is positive.	12/20/2016 4:44 PM
58	portability of scores continuity of testing among jurisdictions better vetting of questions to use for testing	12/20/2016 4:44 PM
59	It provides reciprocity to other states.	12/20/2016 4:44 PM
60	If a law graduate can demonstrate a grasp of the law sufficient to pass any exam, it should suffice to accept that ability as an indication that he or she possesses the skills to use that knowledge to practice law competently in any jurisdiction, with the understanding that some additional training will be necessary to learn the law in the jurisdiction where a practice is undertaken.	12/20/2016 4:43 PM
61	Practitioner submitted questions too often test obscure subject areas and give confusing model answers making them hard to grade with too many variables to fairly judge. Presumably those creating the UBE are experienced examiners/question drafters with academic expertise which will better lend itself to more mainstream results.	12/20/2016 4:41 PM
62	It ensures sufficient competency for new lawyers and portability practice, should that become necessary or desirable in one's career.	12/20/2016 4:39 PM
63	A law degree should be easily portable from state to state, especially in today's world where professionals often move from state to state in their careers. I had to undergo the expense and considerable effort to take the nc bar exam only a year after completing the much more difficult ca bar exam. That was a total waste of time and effort.	12/20/2016 4:39 PM
64	With such a mobile society, it would be nice to be able to transfer our attorney licenses to other states without having to take full bar exams again.	12/20/2016 4:39 PM
65	Yes. Many attorneys practice only federal law. This enables attorneys who practice federal law to move and not have to worry about bar exams.	12/20/2016 4:38 PM
66	There is a huge disjunct between the bar exam and the practice of law. Should entry-level lawyers have a foundation in basic law? Of course. Would it be malpractice to not actually do the research and consult the statutes and cases for an entry-level lawyer? Absolutely. So the notion that NC needs a state-specific bar exam to prove competence is illogical.	12/20/2016 4:37 PM
67	yes. Consistent with mobility of lawyer across state lines.	12/20/2016 4:36 PM
68	More uniformity is better in my opinion.	12/20/2016 4:36 PM
69	You're all involved in the same scam anyway, why not streamline it...	12/18/2016 2:19 PM
70	All states should go to the UBE	12/16/2016 12:25 PM
71	Personally, I am a military spouse (graduating 2017) and still do not know where our duty stations will be for the next five years; because North Carolina does not have a UBE, I am anticipating having to take at least two bar exams in the short term. The UBE is a total game changer for military/military spouses. On a larger scale, there are many people that move frequently, not just military. The UBE is critical in making location changes feasible for everyone.	12/16/2016 11:29 AM

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

72	At the outset of law school, one may be entirely unsure of where one intends to wind up geographically, let alone in terms of practice area (which could lead almost anywhere unexpectedly). A UBE would take away the burden of making these decisions early -- perhaps far earlier than when you have all the data about where you might want to be - - and give future lawyers the flexibility to make the right career choices for them, rather than feeling confined by where they took the bar. It would also preclude people taking a bar exam that might prove useless to them if circumstances change, and potentially make the timeline of taking other employment difficult if they have to rush to take a different bar exam	12/15/2016 6:30 PM
73	I took and passed the Bar in Alabama (UBE); then had take and pass a differentBar in North Carolina. The material tested was the same.	12/15/2016 2:46 PM
74	Because there is no reason to have such restraints on where a lawyer can practice. I am graduating in May and will not be taking the NC Bar because I want the flexibility the UBE provides. Instead, I'm taking the bar in a UBE state so that I have more options after my clerkship.	12/15/2016 2:29 PM
75	I am a military spouse; my husband is active duty army. I am currently a law student, and I am not taking the NC Bar and do not plan to practice in NC because NC does not use the UBE. My husband and I are both from NC and he has spent eight years of his military career at Fort Bragg. The UBE will more easily transfer my credentials from duty station to duty station, however, and we know we will continue to have to move. Instead of taking the NC bar and only being able to work as a lawyer if and when we are in NC, I will take the UBE and we will try to live in states that accept the exam for reciprocity. The UBE, especially in a state with a high military population, will enable military spouses with law degrees to obtain and retain jobs here. Short-term, it decreases the pressure on the service member to leave the military (to allow the spouse to also have a career), and it allows the spouse to work in a position closer to the pay and grade of civilians with similar experience (I urge you to look at those statistics). Long-term, it places NC in contention for post-military residence, and residence for the growing mobile civilian population, as well. NC's current military spouse reciprocity is helpful, but not sufficient to provide an opportunity for all military spouses, as it requires that a spouse has practiced four of the previous eight years (often difficult when moving, in both obtaining licensure and obtaining a new job at each duty station), and does not provide licensure until the service member has official orders, often just before the move. The timeline to receive licensure, find a job, and begin work is long--and our duty station assignments often aren't. Additionally, it doesn't make any accommodations for spouses whose service members have retired; it does not allow retired military families to move to NC without taking the NC bar exam. The UBE would help simplify this process and allow educated professionals to more seamlessly enter the legal field in NC.	12/15/2016 11:45 AM
76	Flexibility, the ease of an attorney moving to another state and practicing. Why wouldn't we?	12/15/2016 2:47 AM
77	We should be moving to a national bar admission standard, with a single exam nationwide and uniform standards for admission nationwide. At most, there should be a short test on state distinctions, or certification that one is familiar with them, when passing from one state to another. Federal courts, after all, do not require a test on local rules in order to be admitted to their bar, though the consequences of failure to adhere to those local rules can be just as devastating as failure to recognize an unusual state law.	12/15/2016 2:16 AM
78	Law practice is becoming relatively uniform across the country.	12/14/2016 10:15 PM
79	Transferability and fairness	12/14/2016 9:43 PM
80	I think the UBE moves NC in the right direction for providing a fair and equitable exam for law students.	12/14/2016 3:32 PM
81	The NCBLE has a history of drafting poorly written questions which do not achieve the goal of testing minimal competency.	12/14/2016 3:24 PM
82	Portability of scores is nice, even as law differs from state to state.	12/14/2016 3:14 PM
83	A degree of consistency across jurisdictions.	12/14/2016 3:03 PM
84	Even with the state-specific supplements, it's much more comforting for a person graduating to know they can take the UBE in a state and have an easier time transferring to a different state if they aren't lucky enough to get a job in NC.	12/14/2016 2:14 PM
85	Like medical doctors It will allow attorneys to practice in other states as they wish or when the need is of the essence.	12/14/2016 1:35 PM
86	Ease of transerring for lawyers, whether you with new job offer, or older & want to change location and type of of law... offers flexibility to providers as well as greater chance of access for consumers.	12/14/2016 1:25 PM
87	I'm not sure this is a good idea. Would there be state specific correct answers? For example, NC has contributory negligence; most states do not. How would that work?	12/14/2016 1:02 PM
88	Provides a much better evaluation of applicants and improves ability to move about.	12/14/2016 11:10 AM
89	To make practicing nationwide more feasible - seems like it would allow waiving into other bars simpler, more widely accepted and available, etc.	12/14/2016 10:47 AM
90	We are halfway there with the multistate but the various bar examiners do not use prior multistate results when reviewing already licensed lawyers coming in from other states.	12/14/2016 10:34 AM

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

91	Portability of scores to allow greater mobility for lawyers.	12/14/2016 10:25 AM
92	should not make it so difficult to practice in other states especially if move from one state to another and been practicing for long period of time	12/14/2016 10:19 AM
93	I believe it will be a fairer exam and will be a huge benefit to lawyers who need to practice in a jurisdiction other than NC. Also may help relieve some burden on Board of Law Examiners.	12/14/2016 10:13 AM
94	Portability of scores	12/14/2016 9:52 AM
95	States should make admission simpler if the UBE is ratified by all states.	12/14/2016 9:52 AM
96	Portability of employment for attorneys without the need to sit for additional bar exams.	12/14/2016 9:46 AM
97	The only valid reason for initial licensing is public protection, and the UBE will provide it adequately. Monitoring of licensees by the NC State Bar can continue for public protection.	12/14/2016 9:23 AM
98	It will consume fewer resources to produce and grade the exam. Courses for preparatory fees could be lower as providers of such courses realize increasing economies of scale. This could set the stage for an easier comity/reciprocity/admission by motion so that lawyers could more easily move between states and so that states could be more confident about admitting lawyers from other jurisdictions.	12/14/2016 9:18 AM
99	With practically all states coming from the common law and the adoption of so many uniform laws, the basis of legal knowledge is fairly uniform.	12/14/2016 8:38 AM
100	I believe it's a better policy than reciprocity.	12/14/2016 8:32 AM
101	The UBE allows lawyers the ability to practice in any state, which is invaluable in today's job market.	12/14/2016 7:18 AM
102	It will increase a lawyers marketability and ability to waive into neighboring states automatically.	12/13/2016 11:29 PM
103	Any move that takes away any small amount of discretion from the bar examiners would make me happy. They may be a better group now than they were in the 70's and 80's, when, for the most part, they were a bunch of jerks.	12/13/2016 9:39 PM
104	Makes sense that there is national standard for attorneys.	12/13/2016 9:27 PM
105	Standardized testing could assist in multistate licensure which is much-needed in this global society.	12/13/2016 8:45 PM
106	The only way NC should adopt the UBE if the pass score is as high as NY. At least a 266 to be comparable to SC.	12/13/2016 7:51 PM
107	The practice of law has expanded across state lines as has all business and travel. I have tried cases from Brownsville, TX to Philadelphia. Doug Connor	12/13/2016 7:14 PM
108	Law schools continue to grow in number and expand in training new lawyers. It makes little sense to use the bar exam to control the population, which is how our current exam works. Let the market place drive them to other professions. Our chosen profession has already become the joke of society.	12/13/2016 7:12 PM
109	Anything to get the BLE out of the process of determining who's qualified to practice law in NC.	12/13/2016 6:26 PM
110	Folks move around more.	12/13/2016 6:21 PM
111	Yes, absolutely! People move across state lines so often now. Aside from attorneys moving, my clients also move and I have cases in multiple states. I would like to be able to represent them in both states but I'm limited. Also, creates a fair playing ground for attorneys when companies like Legal Zoom who aren't lawyers are able to offer services in all states.	12/13/2016 6:10 PM
112	Because of the close borders that the NC shares with SC, it would make for an easier transition if both states were UBE instead of just SC.	12/13/2016 6:04 PM
113	Attorneys should have a uniformed bar test that allows them to practice law in every state.	12/13/2016 5:57 PM
114	The practice of law is transcending state borders. The time has come to have a national standard for general practice.	12/13/2016 5:54 PM
115	Its prudent to use a uniform exam and will be much more efficient.	12/13/2016 5:49 PM
116	Consistency and transparency	12/13/2016 5:39 PM
117	I believe that the practice of law is increasingly becoming at least regional and often is national in scope, so it seems to me to make sense to have more uniformity to the administration of the bar exam.	12/13/2016 5:35 PM
118	standardized across the country	12/13/2016 5:32 PM
119	As a finance transactional attorney almost none of the agreements I deal with are governed by NC law. The practice of law is not well constrained by state borders anymore.	12/13/2016 5:29 PM

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

120	Provides great flexibility to each lawyer.	12/13/2016 5:24 PM
121	Transferrability between states for law school grads who are not sure where they will be working right after law school and admittance to other states by attorneys who have reason to be barred in multiple states.	12/13/2016 5:23 PM
122	There has been a growing uniformity of laws among the states (e.g. Uniform Laws in various fields) so that if an issue in given uniform law has been addressed by a courting one state, this could assist a court in another state faced with the same issue which had not been addressed in the latter state. Over time this could reduce uncertainty in how citizens conduct their business.	12/13/2016 5:22 PM
123	Because it allows for easy mobility for attorneys out of the state of NC	12/13/2016 5:21 PM
124	It will be easier to recruit young lawyers into practices in NC if they can transfer their UBE scores.	12/13/2016 5:11 PM
125	simplifies and makes uniform admittance to the Bar	12/13/2016 5:11 PM
126	Adopting the UBE will streamline teaching in law school and will provide graduates who pass the UBE more options and flexibility with respect to work options. N.C. should get on board with this positive shift in assessing the knowledge of law school graduates.	12/13/2016 5:08 PM
127	nothing wrong with testing legal concepts that are not founded in NC nuances.	12/13/2016 5:05 PM
128	Reduction in subjective grading and over-emphasis on distinctions in NC law.	12/13/2016 5:03 PM
129	I have taken two bar exams (FL & NC) and I may have to take a third. Any concerns about not knowing the laws of a state is somewhat of a silly notion. Any practitioner has to teach themselves the law in any state regardless of whether they take a state specific bar exam or not. The bar respectfully cannot cover all aspects of the law in their respective states. If you meet the minimum standards to show you are fit to practice law in a state you should be able to practice law in all 50 states. Akin to the medical profession, there are regional differences to the practice of medicine but once lincensed in one state you can get licensure in all 50 states. They are both practices in which you are continually learning. Taking a bar in each state make no rational sense. Especially with the uniform codes adopted across a majority of the 50 states. The only exception may be Louisiana.	12/13/2016 5:02 PM
130	I'm in favor, as long as us old-timers get to use it to become licensed in all other states that are parties to the UBE.	12/13/2016 4:58 PM
131	The flexibility of being able to move to and from different states for jobs.	12/13/2016 4:56 PM
132	Uniformity and standardization. Removes some of the subjectivity of the exam grading process.	12/13/2016 4:55 PM
133	The UBE is nicely standardized, and it makes it easier to find employment since the score can be used in more than one state. Given the current employment prospects, this would be such an improvement.	12/13/2016 4:55 PM
134	It allows for bar passage in multiple states in a reasonable amount of time.	12/13/2016 4:51 PM
135	No one should have to take multiple bar exams to be licensed in each state.	12/13/2016 4:50 PM
136	Actually, not sure how I feel. Since state laws vary greatly, not sure that a UBE will result in determining whether a lawyer is qualified to practice law in a particular state.	12/13/2016 4:50 PM
137	There are 3 reasons. First, uniform codes in many areas of the law have been and are being adopted across the country. Thus, we have uniformity in many areas such as commercial transactions, trusts, enforcing judgments, etc. Second, the very few areas of the law that are learned for the state specific portion of the bar exam are soon forgotten. Any lawyer worth their salt looks up the elements of their claims (caselaw, treatises, pattern jury instructions, etc.). Third, a large number of law graduates do not have jobs at the time they are applying to take the bar exam and could use the flexibility offered by the UBE. For example, the student does not need to spend additional money to travel across the country to take a bar exam for a state they may not even end up practicing in or just to take the UBE.	12/13/2016 4:48 PM
138	It's costly and cumbersome for attorneys to take the bar exam in multiple states, but with the economy and the multitude of attorneys, sometimes moving to another state is where the job is--if the attorney were licensed there. It would also be great for military spouses who are attorneys.	12/13/2016 4:46 PM
139	Law is practiced on a national basis with a great deal of uniformity in the laws nationwide. It makes to require students to retake bar exams if the find another job in another state or if they are associated in a firm with offices in different states.	12/13/2016 4:46 PM
140	Lawyers need to be as portable in today's economy as other professionals.	12/13/2016 4:45 PM
141	Because it makes moving to another state easier because the score would transfer. NC would still have the authority to set their own passing score, decide who can sit for the bar, decide prerequisite educational requirements, etc. It's a win-win situation because we learn NC law in law school so being tested on a national basis would increase job opportunities while still allowing NC to have control over most of the aspects of the examination.	12/13/2016 4:45 PM
142	Because the current bar exam is ridiculous.	12/13/2016 4:43 PM

A Uniform Bar Exam for North Carolina

143	Mobility	12/13/2016 4:43 PM
144	This will eliminate the high cost of obtaining a law degree as only the highly selective law schools will still be able to justify their tuition cost.	12/13/2016 4:43 PM
145	The bar exam does not reflect or support an attorney's ability to practice in her or her chosen area of law. The ability to practice comes with experience in a jurisdiction.	12/13/2016 4:41 PM
146	If it would allow me to practice in other states then I think it is a great idea. A lot of my practice is standard from state to state but the process of pro hac admission is daunting for clients.	12/13/2016 4:40 PM
147	With restatements and uniform codes, law is becoming more uniform across the country. Uniformity and bar exams is an idea whose time has come.	12/13/2016 4:40 PM
148	I am not sure of my opinion. I have not seen any data to support or challenge its use. Not sure what NC examiners are looking for and if the UBE will meet those standards.	12/13/2016 4:40 PM
149	The practice of law goes beyond state borders. Time to allow attorneys to practice in multiple states without having to pass the bar in each state.	12/13/2016 4:38 PM
150	State-specific bar exams serve primarily as a barrier to attorney mobility; apart from the possible exception of Louisiana, there does not appear to be enough difference between state law structures to justify state-specific bar exams.	12/13/2016 4:38 PM
151	The UBE tests the necessary skills required of future attorneys, without overloading them with unnecessary legal information.	12/13/2016 4:37 PM